



The Role of Microbes and Microbiomes in Ecosystem Restoration

Editors:

Shiv Prasad

Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh

Murugaiyan Sinduja

Sathya Velusamy

Ramesh Poornima

Sangilidurai Karthika

Bentham Books

Microbes and Microbiomes for Clean and Green Environment

(Volume 1)

The Role of Microbes and Microbiomes in Ecosystem Restoration

Edited by

Shiv Prasad

&

Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh

Division of Environment Science

ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute

New Delhi-110012, India

Murugaiyan Sinduja

National Agro Foundation, Taramani, Chennai

Tamil Nadu, India

Velusamy Sathya

Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board, Chennai

Tamil Nadu, India

Ramesh Poornima

&

Sangilidurai Karthika

Department of Environmental Sciences

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore

India

O let qdgu'ēpf 'O let qdkqo gu'ht 'Engcp'ēpf 'I tggp'Gpxlt qpo gpv

(Volume 1)

The Role of Microbes and Microbiomes in Ecosystem Restoration

Editors: Shiv Prasad, Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh, Murugaiyan Sinduja,

Sathya Velusamy, Ramesh Poornima, Sangilidurai Karthika

ISBN (Online): 978-981-5256-59-8

ISBN (Print): 978-981-5256-60-4

ISBN (Paperback): 978-981-5256-61-1

©2024, Bentham Books imprint.

Published by Bentham Science Publishers Pte. Ltd. Singapore. All Rights Reserved.

First published in 2024.

BENTHAM SCIENCE PUBLISHERS LTD.

End User License Agreement (for non-institutional, personal use)

This is an agreement between you and Bentham Science Publishers Ltd. Please read this License Agreement carefully before using the ebook/echapter/ejournal ("**Work**"). Your use of the Work constitutes your agreement to the terms and conditions set forth in this License Agreement. If you do not agree to these terms and conditions then you should not use the Work.

Bentham Science Publishers agrees to grant you a non-exclusive, non-transferable limited license to use the Work subject to and in accordance with the following terms and conditions. This License Agreement is for non-library, personal use only. For a library / institutional / multi user license in respect of the Work, please contact: permission@benthamscience.net.

Usage Rules:

1. All rights reserved: The Work is the subject of copyright and Bentham Science Publishers either owns the Work (and the copyright in it) or is licensed to distribute the Work. You shall not copy, reproduce, modify, remove, delete, augment, add to, publish, transmit, sell, resell, create derivative works from, or in any way exploit the Work or make the Work available for others to do any of the same, in any form or by any means, in whole or in part, in each case without the prior written permission of Bentham Science Publishers, unless stated otherwise in this License Agreement.
2. You may download a copy of the Work on one occasion to one personal computer (including tablet, laptop, desktop, or other such devices). You may make one back-up copy of the Work to avoid losing it.
3. The unauthorised use or distribution of copyrighted or other proprietary content is illegal and could subject you to liability for substantial money damages. You will be liable for any damage resulting from your misuse of the Work or any violation of this License Agreement, including any infringement by you of copyrights or proprietary rights.

Disclaimer:

Bentham Science Publishers does not guarantee that the information in the Work is error-free, or warrant that it will meet your requirements or that access to the Work will be uninterrupted or error-free. The Work is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either express or implied or statutory, including, without limitation, implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. The entire risk as to the results and performance of the Work is assumed by you. No responsibility is assumed by Bentham Science Publishers, its staff, editors and/or authors for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products instruction, advertisements or ideas contained in the Work.

Limitation of Liability:

In no event will Bentham Science Publishers, its staff, editors and/or authors, be liable for any damages, including, without limitation, special, incidental and/or consequential damages and/or damages for lost data and/or profits arising out of (whether directly or indirectly) the use or inability to use the Work. The entire liability of Bentham Science Publishers shall be limited to the amount actually paid by you for the Work.

General:

1. Any dispute or claim arising out of or in connection with this License Agreement or the Work (including non-contractual disputes or claims) will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of Singapore. Each party agrees that the courts of the state of Singapore shall have exclusive jurisdiction to settle any dispute or claim arising out of or in connection with this License Agreement or the Work (including non-contractual disputes or claims).
2. Your rights under this License Agreement will automatically terminate without notice and without the

need for a court order if at any point you breach any terms of this License Agreement. In no event will any delay or failure by Bentham Science Publishers in enforcing your compliance with this License Agreement constitute a waiver of any of its rights.

3. You acknowledge that you have read this License Agreement, and agree to be bound by its terms and conditions. To the extent that any other terms and conditions presented on any website of Bentham Science Publishers conflict with, or are inconsistent with, the terms and conditions set out in this License Agreement, you acknowledge that the terms and conditions set out in this License Agreement shall prevail.

Bentham Science Publishers Pte. Ltd.

80 Robinson Road #02-00

Singapore 068898

Singapore

Email: subscriptions@benthamscience.net



CONTENTS

PREFACE	i
LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS	vi
PART 1 INTRODUCTION TO MICROBES AND MICROBIOMES IN THE ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION AND GREEN ENVIRONMENT	
CHAPTER 1 OVERVIEW OF MICROBES AND MICROBIOMES IN THE RESTORATION OF TERRESTRIAL, AQUATIC, AND COASTAL ECOSYSTEMS	1
<i>Shiv Prasad, Sangilidurai Karthika, Murugaiyan Sinduja, Ramesh Poornima, Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh and Velusamy Sathya</i>	
INTRODUCTION	2
CAUSES OF ECOSYSTEM DESTRUCTION AND THEIR IMPACTS	3
Resource Exploitation	3
Climate Change	5
Increasing Environmental Pollution	5
Deforestation	7
Habitat Loss and Destruction	7
Humans' Activities	8
Disease Outbreaks	9
Land-use Changes	10
ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	10
Restoration Ecology	11
Microbe and Microbiomes in Terrestrial Ecosystem Restoration	11
Microbe and Microbiomes in Aquatic and Coastal Ecosystem Restoration	12
SIGNIFICANCE OF MICROBIOME IN NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT	14
Role of Microbes and Microbiomes in Biomining	14
Microbiomes in Restoring Polluted Ecosystems and Environment	15
Microbes and Microbiomes in the Restoration of Farm and Degraded Lands	18
Bioremediation Approach for Heavy Metal Pollution Control	19
Microbes in the Production of Renewable Energy	20
Microbiomes in Agriculture Crop Growth and Development	20
Microbes and Microbiomes for Biofertilizer Production	22
Microbiome in Mitigating Ghg Emission and Climate Change	23
Microbes and Microbiomes in Waste Management	24
MOLECULAR TECHNIQUES IN ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	25
THE PROSPECT OF MICROBE AND MICROBIOMES IN ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	27
CHALLENGES WITH MICROBES AND MICROBIOMES IN ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	28
CONCLUDING REMARKS	30
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	31
REFERENCES	31
CHAPTER 2 ROLE OF ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS INFLUENCING MICROBES AND MICROBIOMES FOR ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	44
<i>Divya Pooja, Shiv Prasad, Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh and C. Avinash</i>	
INTRODUCTION	45
SIGNIFICANCE OF MICROBES AND MICROBIOMES IN ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION	47
BENEFICIAL MICROBES AND THEIR ROLE IN ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION	49

Bacteria	49
Fungi	50
Protists	51
Virus	51
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS INFLUENCING MICROBES AND MICROBIOMES	
FOR ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	52
Edaphic Factors	52
<i>Soil pH</i>	52
<i>Soil Temperature</i>	53
<i>Soil Aeration</i>	53
<i>Soil Moisture</i>	53
<i>Soil Nutrients</i>	54
Geographical Factors	54
Climatic Factors	55
<i>UV Radiation</i>	55
<i>Elevated CO₂</i>	55
<i>Temperature</i>	56
<i>Permafrost Thaw</i>	56
ABIOTIC STRESSORS AFFECTING SOIL MICROBIOME	57
Drought	57
Submergence	58
Salinity	58
Pollutants	59
MICROBIOME ENGINEERING FOR ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION	60
BIOAUGMENTATION FOR RE-ESTABLISHMENT OF A HEALTHY MICROBIOME	61
CONCLUDING REMARKS	62
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	63
REFERENCES	63

PART 2 ROLE OF MICROBIOMES IN RESTORING POLLUTED ECOSYSTEM AND ENVIRONMENT

CHAPTER 3 MICROBIAL-ASSISTED BIOREMEDIATION: A GREENER APPROACH FOR RESTORATION OF HEAVY METAL-CONTAMINATED SOIL	70
Yogesh Dashrath Naik ¹ , Rohit Das ² , Santosh Kumar ² , Konderu Niteesh Varma ³ , S.T.M. Aravindharajan ³ and Viabhav Kumar Upadhayay ^{4,*}	70
INTRODUCTION	71
HEAVY METALS: SOURCES AND TOXICITY	72
APPROACHES FOR HEAVY METAL REMOVAL	74
Physical Remediation	74
Chemical Remediation	75
Phytoremediation	76
Microbial-assisted Remediation	77
MECHANISTIC VIEW OF MICROBIAL-ASSISTED BIOREMEDIATION OF HEAVY METALS	79
Biosorption	79
Bioleaching	81
By Redox State Change	81
Bioaccumulation	82
Biomineralization	83
FACTORS AFFECTING MICROBIAL BIOREMEDIATION	83
FUTURE OUTLOOK AND CHALLENGES	84

CONCLUSION	85
REFERENCES	85
PART 3 ROLE OF MICROBIOMES IN AGRICULTURE CROP GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT	
CHAPTER 4 ROLE OF MICROBES AND MICROBIOMES IN BIOFERTILIZER PRODUCTION AND AS PLANT GROWTH PROMOTERS	92
<i>Nikul B. Chavada and Ramesh Poornima</i>	
INTRODUCTION	92
NITROGEN-FIXING MICROORGANISMS AS BIOFERTILIZERS	96
PHOSPHATE SOLUBILIZING AND MOBILIZING MICROBES AS BIOFERTILIZERS	98
POTASSIUM SOLUBILIZING AND MOBILIZING MICROBES AS BIOFERTILIZERS	100
SULFUR-OXIDIZING MICROBES	101
ZINC SOLUBILIZING MICROBES	102
ARBUSCULAR FUNGI	103
PLANT GROWTH-PROMOTING RHIZOBACTERIA	105
MECHANISM AND MODES OF ACTION OF BIOFERTILIZERS	105
BIOFERTILIZERS AND THEIR APPLICATION METHODS IN AGRICULTURAL CROP	106
BIOFERTILIZER REQUIREMENTS AND LIMITATIONS	107
CONCLUSION AND PROSPECTS	109
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	109
REFERENCES	109
CHAPTER 5 ROLE OF MICROBES AND MICROBIOMES IN BIOTIC AND ABIOTIC STRESS MANAGEMENT IN AGRICULTURE	114
<i>M. Vijayalakshmi, Christobel R. Gloria Jemmi, G. Ramanathan and S. Karthika</i>	
INTRODUCTION	115
CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS	116
PERMAFROST PANDEMIC	118
SURVIVAL STRATEGIES UNDER STRESS FACTORS	120
ADAPTIVE MECHANISMS OF SALT TOLERANCE IN PLANTS	121
Damage to Plant Organs of Fruits, Flowers, Leaves, Trunk and Root	122
Agricultural Techniques for Stress Reduction	125
TOLERANCE OF THE ABIOTIC STRESSES	125
EMERGING MICROBIOME IN SOIL BIOTA	127
EFFICACY OF NANOMATERIALS ON STRESSES	130
CONCLUSION	132
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	133
REFERENCES	133
PART 4 ROLE OF MICROBES IN SUSTAINABLE WASTE MANAGEMENT FOR PROTECTING OUR ECOSYSTEM	
CHAPTER 6 ROLE OF MICROBES AND MICROBIOMES IN WASTEWATER TREATMENT FOR AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	136
<i>Suganthi Rajendran, Sinduja Murugaian, Poornima Ramesh and Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh</i>	
INTRODUCTION	137
WASTEWATER TREATMENT	138
Principles of Biological Wastewater Treatment	138
Biological Growth and Kinetics	138

BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT	139
Aerobic Treatment	141
Anaerobic Treatment	142
BIOREACTORS	143
MICROBIAL DYNAMICS IN WASTEWATER TREATMENT	143
Bacteria	146
Fungi	146
Phytoremediation	148
Phycoremediation	148
THE WAY FORWARD	151
CONCLUSION	151
REFERENCES	151
CHAPTER 7 ROLE OF MICROBES AND MICROBIOMES IN SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FOR ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	156
<i>Sathya Velusamy, Murugaiyan Sinduja, R. Vinothini and Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh</i>	
INTRODUCTION	157
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SCENARIO IN INDIA	158
ROLE OF MICROORGANISMS IN THE ENVIRONMENT	158
Microbes in Solid Waste Management	159
SOLID WASTES	159
Sources and Types of Wastes	159
SYNOPSIS OF SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT	161
Thermal Treatment	161
Dumps and Landfills	161
BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT	162
Composting	162
<i>Role of Microbes in Composting of Solid Waste</i>	162
<i>Composting Process</i>	162
<i>Stages of the Composting Process</i>	163
<i>Aerobic vs Anaerobic Microorganisms</i>	164
<i>Advantages of Composting</i>	165
<i>Limitations of Composting</i>	166
Anaerobic Digestion	166
<i>Advantages and Disadvantages of Anaerobic Digestion (AD)</i>	168
EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT OF SOLID WASTE	169
Current Management Practices of Urban Solid Wastes	169
Role of the Microbes and Microbiomes in Solid Waste Management	170
Recent Advances in Microbial Waste Management	170
FUTURE PERSPECTIVE	171
CONCLUSION	172
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	172
REFERENCES	172
PART 5 TRADITIONAL AND ADVANCED TECHNIQUES FOR ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	
CHAPTER 8 CURRENT STATE AND FUTURE PROSPECTS OF MICROBIAL GENOMICS IN ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	176
<i>Saraswathy Nagendran and Pooja Mehta</i>	
INTRODUCTION	177

META-OMICS FOR TRACKING AND RESTORATION	178
Assessing and Tracking Cryptic and Functional Ecosystem Components	178
<i>Metabarcoding</i>	178
<i>Metagenomics</i>	180
<i>Metatranscriptomics and Metaproteomics</i>	184
Assessing and Monitoring Interactions in Restored Ecological Networks	186
GENE EDITING FOR RESTORATION	187
Crispr-Cas	188
Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nucleases	189
Zinc Finger Nucleases	189
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES	190
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	191
REFERENCES	191
 CHAPTER 9 ROLE OF METAGENOMICS AND MICROBIAL DIVERSITY IN THE RESTORATION OF TROPIC AND TEMPERATE ECOSYSTEMS	198
<i>Anushka Satpathy, Koel Mukherjee and Vinod Kumar Nigam</i>	
INTRODUCTION	198
DAMAGING AGENTS OF THE ECOSYSTEM	199
MICROBIAL DIVERSITY	202
Mesophiles	204
Thermophiles	204
Psychrophiles	205
APPROACHES OF METAGENOMICS	206
Multi-Locus Sequence Typing (MLST)	207
MOTHUR	209
Quantitative Insight into Microbial Ecology (QIIME)	210
PHAge Communities from Contig Spectrum (PHACCS)	212
TEMPERATE AND TROPICAL ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	213
CONCLUSION	216
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	217
REFERENCES	217
 CHAPTER 10 BASIC AND TRADITIONAL MICROBIAL TECHNIQUES IN ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	222
<i>R.V. Akil Prasath, S. Akila, M. Shankar, R. Raveena, M. Prasanthrajan, K. Boomiraj, S. Karthika and Selvaraj Keerthana</i>	
INTRODUCTION	223
BASIC AND TRADITIONAL MICROBIAL TECHNIQUE	225
Biopiles - Carbon-rich Soil Amendments	226
Specific Conditions to Maintain Bio Piles	226
Influence of Physiochemical Parameters on Microbes	227
COMPOST	227
Microbial System of Compost	228
Effect of Microbes on Compost Quality	229
Benefits of Composting	230
GRAZE MANURE-BASED RESTORATION	230
Graze Manure-Based Restoration: Sustainable Land Management	231
A Successful Case Study - The Restoration of Degraded Rangelands in Mongolia	231
MUTUALISTIC - PLANT AND MICROBE-ASSISTED ECO-RESTORATION TECHNIQUES	232
Roots and Microbes: Mutualistic Rhizosphere	232

Sustainable Restoration Practice Using Symbiotic Microbes	234
Microbial Effect as the Symbiotic Association	235
REGENERATIVE ECO-RESTORATION/CARBON FARM TECHNIQUE	235
Diverse Manifestations of Carbon and Chemotaxis of Microbes	235
CARBON IN THE ENVIRONMENT	236
Microbes in the Carbon World	236
Chemotaxis in Microbes	236
CONCLUSION	238
REFERENCES	239
CHAPTER 11 MOLECULAR TECHNIQUES IN ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	244
<i>R. Shivakumar and B. Balaji</i>	
INTRODUCTION	244
IMPORTANCE OF MOLECULAR TECHNIQUES	245
VARIOUS MOLECULAR APPROACHES TO ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	245
PCR-based Approaches	246
Marker-based Approaches	248
Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphisms	248
Sequence-Tagged Site (STS) Markers	250
DNA Sequencing	250
DISTINCT APPROACH OF MARKER	250
Biosensors – DNA Probe	252
Enzyme-based Biosensors	253
DNA-based Biosensors	253
Various Detections by Biosensors	254
ENVIRONMENTAL DNA TECHNOLOGY	255
Collection of e-DNA Samples	256
Techniques Involved in e-DNA Technology	256
Concerns and Future Perspectives of e-DNA Technology	258
16S RRNA SEQUENCING FOR POLLUTION CHECK	258
Heavy Metal Pollution Check	259
Application on Fecal Contamination Water	259
ANTIBIOTIC-RESISTANCE GENES IN UNTREATED WASTEWATER	260
OCEAN ACIDIFICATION ANALYSIS-METAGENOMICS	261
CONCLUSION	263
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	263
REFERENCES	263
SUBJECT INDEX	269

PREFACE

The book series titled "**Microbes and Microbiomes for a Clean and Green Environment**" focuses on the roles of microbes and microbiomes, including environmental factors, basic and advanced microbial techniques, such as molecular biology, genomics, and metagenomics including the use of microbes in bioremediation, sustainable waste and wastewater treatment, plant growth, biotic and abiotic stress management and explores the role of microbes in protecting and restoring various polluted ecosystems.

At the beginning of the twenty-first century, one of the major concerns in the human ecological context is the destruction of ecosystems. Human activities have significantly impacted the environment. To address this pressing issue, the science of restoration ecology and its practical applications offer a potentially cost-effective and sustainable solution. Restoration ecology has become a leading topic in global environmental discussions. One of the key goals of the UN Convention on Biological Diversity from 2011 to 2020 was to restore at least 15% of the world's damaged ecosystems. Furthermore, in 2015, the UN formalized these global commitments by endorsing the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals, one of which explicitly targets ecological restoration.

Volume 1, "The Role of Microbes and Microbiomes in Ecosystem Restoration," examines how microbes and microbiomes, with their unique capabilities, can drive sustainable environmental restoration. The book series chapters are vital for promoting a clean and green environment, achieving sustainable plant productivity, and stabilizing the environment for human life.

In Chapter 1, Prasad *et al.*, provide an overview of the causes of ecosystem destruction, the need for ecosystem restoration, the significance of microbiomes, and the challenges involved in adopting microbiomes for ecosystem restoration.

Pooja *et al.*, in Chapter 2, focused on environmental factors such as vital edaphic (pH, temperature, oxygen, nutrients, and moisture), geographical, climatic (UV radiation, elevated CO₂, temperature, permafrost thaw), and abiotic (drought, submergence, salinity, pollutants) involved in the establishment of microbes and microbiomes.

In recent years, microbial-assisted bioremediation has emerged as a promising and eco-friendly alternative for remediating toxic heavy metals (HMs). Hence, Naik *et al.*, in Chapter 3, highlight the eco-friendly use of microorganisms, their mechanisms that contribute to the bioremediation of HMs, and their potential use in the future.

Biofertilizers are a viable alternative to unsafe chemical fertilizers in sustainable agriculture, preserving long-term soil fertility and fulfilling global food demand. Therefore, Chavada *et al.*, in Chapter 4, describes biofertilizers, the role of various plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, nitrogen-fixers, phosphorus, potassium, sulfur, and zinc solubilizers, and mobilizers in sustainable agriculture.

Human activities, knowingly or unknowingly, cause agricultural systems to face stress and resource quality degradation and depletion. Thus, in Chapter 5, Vijayalakshmi *et al.*, discuss the application of microbes and microbiomes in biotic and abiotic stress management and focus on adaptive salt tolerance mechanisms in plants and other abiotic stress management.

The generation of wastewater increases multifold because of industries and the overexploitation of freshwater resources, which is responsible for deteriorating entire ecosystem quality and human health. In Chapter 6, Suganthi *et al.*, present eco-friendly wastewater treatment technologies, the role of different microbial communities, including bacteria and fungi, actinomycetes, algae, and plants, and the range of polluted wastewater management.

Solid waste disposal is a significant issue that worsens daily as more people move into cities. In Chapter 7, Velusamy *et al.*, provide the status of solid waste management in India, sources and types of solid wastes, various conventional solid waste management techniques, and the role of microbes in solid waste management through composting and anaerobic digestion.

Employing diverse and functional taxonomic groups by sequencing large quantities of environmental DNA or RNA utilizing genomic and meta-omic technologies is reported to quantify outcomes of ecological restoration projects precisely. Hence, in chapter 8, Nagendran *et al.*, highlight the use of meta-omics techniques, metabolic network mapping, and functional gene editing with CRISPR/Cas technology to efficiently monitor the outcomes of ecological restoration projects by using various microbial bioremediation approaches.

In Chapter 9, Satpathy *et al.* provided details on metagenomic approaches, such as Multi-Locus Sequence Typing (MLST), MOTHUR, Quantitative Insight Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME), and PHAge Communities From Contig Spectrum (PHACCS), for restoring temperate and tropical ecosystems.

Soil microorganisms also play a fundamental role in ecosystem functioning and conserving plant diversity. Hence, in chapter 10, Prasad *et al.*, delve into fundamental and conventional techniques and approaches that can be employed to maintain soil microbial populations. They focus on the possibility of creating protocols for regulatory or commercial objectives, emphasizing the significance of ecological restoration by using bioinoculants or microbial colonies in degraded sites.

In Chapter 11, Shivakumar *et al.*, discuss the application of various molecular methods in monitoring ecosystem health, identifying microbial communities in ecosystems, and comprehending interactions between microbes and plants. The chapter also discusses the application of molecular techniques to restore damaged ecosystems, including using plant-microbe interactions to promote plant development in contaminated soils.

We sincerely thank all the authors for their outstanding contributions. Our gratitude also extends to the entire team at Bentham Science Publishers, especially Mrs. Fariya Zulfiqar (Manager Publications), for her exceptional management of this book throughout all stages of publication. We are confident that this volume in the book series will be widely appreciated by researchers and professionals alike.

Shiv Prasad

&

Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh

Division of Environment Science
ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute
New Delhi-110012, India

iii

Murugaiyan Sinduja

National Agro Foundation, Taramani, Chennai
Tamil Nadu, India

Velusamy Sathya

Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board, Chennai
Tamil Nadu, India

Ramesh Poornima

&

Sangilidurai Karthika

Department of Environmental Sciences
Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore
India

List of Contributors

Anushka Satpathy	Department of Bioengineering & Biotechnology, Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi-835215, Jharkhand, India
B. Balaji	ICAR-National Institute for Plant Biotechnology, LBS Centre, Pusa Campus, New Delhi, India Post Graduate School, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, Pusa, New Delhi-110012, India
Christobel R. Gloria Jemmi	V.V. Vanniaperumal College for Women, Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India
C. Avinash	Division of Environment Science, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, India
Divya Pooja	Division of Environment Science, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, India
G. Ramanathan	Sri Paramakalyani College, Alwarkurichi, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India
Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh	Division of Environment Science, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, India Division of Environment Sciences, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, SRM College of Agricultural Sciences, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Baburayanpettai - 603201, Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu, India INTI International University, Persiaran Perdana BBN, Putra Nilai, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia
Konderu Niteesh Varma	Division of Microbiology, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India
Koel Mukherjee	Department of Bioengineering & Biotechnology, Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi-835215, Jharkhand, India
K. Boomiraj	Department of Environmental Sciences, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu-641003, India
M. Prasanthrajan	Centre for Agricultural Nanotechnology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu-641003, India
Murugaiyan Sinduja	National Agro Foundation, Taramani, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India
M. Vijayalakshmi	V.V. Vanniaperumal College for Women, Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India
M. Shankar	Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, ICAR- Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India
Nikul B. Chavada	Om College of Science, Bheshan Highway, Junagadh- 362001, Gujarat, India
Pooja Mehta	SVKM's Mithibai College of Arts, Chauhan Institute of Science & Amrutben Jivanlal College of Commerce and Economics Vile Parle (W), Mumbai, Maharashtra 400056, India
Rohit Das	Department of Microbiology, School of Life Sciences, Sikkim University, Gangtok - 737102, Sikkim, India

R. Vinothini	MIT College of Agricultural and Technology, Musiri, Tamil Nadu, India
R.V. Akil Prasath	Department of Environmental Science and Management, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli-620024, India
R. Raveena	Department of Environmental Sciences, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu-641003, India
R. Shivakumar	Department of Biotechnology, Centre for Plant Molecular Biology and Bioinformatics, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641003, India
Ramesh Poornima	Department of Environmental Sciences, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India
Shiv Prasad	Division of Environment Science, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, India
Sangilidurai Karthika	Department of Environmental Sciences, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India
S.T.M. Aravindharajan	Division of Microbiology, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India
Suganthi Rajendran	JSA College of Agriculture and Technology, Avatti, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu, India
Saraswathy Nagendran	SVKM's Mithibai College of Arts, Chauhan Institute of Science & Amrutben Jivanlal College of Commerce and Economics Vile Parle (W), Mumbai, Maharashtra 400056, India
S. Akila	National Agro Foundation, Research & Development Centre, Anna University Taramani Campus, Taramani, Chennai, Tamil Nadu-600113, India
Selvaraj Keerthana	Department of Environmental Sciences, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu-641003, India
Santosh Kumar	Department of Microbiology, School of Life Sciences, Sikkim University, Gangtok - 737102, Sikkim, India
Viabhav Kumar Upadhayay	Department of Microbiology, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India
Vinod Kumar Nigam	Department of Bioengineering & Biotechnology, Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi-835215, Jharkhand, India
Velusamy Sathya	Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India
Yogesh Dashrath Naik	Department of Agricultural Biotechnology and Molecular Biology, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India

**Part 1: Introduction to Microbes and Microbiomes
in the Ecosystem Restoration and Green
Environment**

CHAPTER 1

Overview of Microbes and Microbiomes in the Restoration of Terrestrial, Aquatic, and Coastal Ecosystems

Shiv Prasad^{1,*}, Sangilidurai Karthika², Murugaiyan Sinduja³, Ramesh Poornima², Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh^{1,4,5} and Velusamy Sathya⁶

¹ Division of Environment Science, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, India

² Department of Environmental Sciences, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India

³ National Agro Foundation, Taramani, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

⁴ Division of Environment Sciences, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, SRM College of Agricultural Sciences, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Baburayanpettai - 603201, Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu, India

⁵ INTI International University, Persiaran Perdana BBN, Putra Nilai, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia

⁶ Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract: Ecosystems consist of biotic and abiotic components, including flora and fauna, along with the conducive environmental factors of a particular place. These are imperative for maintaining the ecosystem's structure and energy flow between trophic levels and providing ecosystem services for the well-being of humans and other living organisms. However, ecosystems are being threatened by human activities, which disrupt the balance of nature. Thus, it impacts billions of people by causing economic loss and threats to the survival of terrestrial, aquatic, and other species. Climate change and increasing pollution also adversely affect the functions of the ecosystem. Microbes and microbiomes are reported to restore terrestrial, aquatic, and coastal ecosystems. The diverse microbes such as bacteria, archaea, algae, fungi, and protozoa help detoxify the polluted ecosystems through various physical, chemical, and biological mechanisms. They also help with the nutrient cycling and mineralization of nutrients from the soil to plants in their available forms. With the focus on ecorestoration, there is a need to take collective action to protect the environment and prevent ecosystem degradation worldwide.

Keywords: Clean environment, Ecosystems, Ecosystem restoration, Green environmenta, Microbes, Microbiomes.

* Corresponding author Shiv Prasad: Division of Environment Science, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, India; E-mail: shiv_drprasad@yahoo.co.in

INTRODUCTION

The ecosystem is the complex of living organisms and non-living things interacting with each other in their physical environment called habitat, and all the interrelationships between organisms occur in a particular space unit. It is divided into (i) terrestrial, *e.g.*, desert, forest, grassland, taiga, and tundra, and (ii) non-terrestrial, *e.g.*, aquatic, marine, and wetlands. Ecosystems play a vital role in balancing the natural phenomenon, structural organization, energy flow, and nutrient cycling and provide various ecosystem services and benefits to human society. However, human activities negatively influence the well-being of 3.2 billion people. These activities cost more than 10% of the annual global gross product by losing biodiversity and vital ecosystem services. Human activities are reported to reduce productivity in 23% of global terrestrial areas [1]. Vegetation cover is decreasing, influencing grasslands, croplands, woodlands, and rangelands, particularly in vulnerable regions. Desertification has severe consequences for 38% of the global population. Wetlands have declined 70% over the previous century [2]. The global forest area has decreased by 100 million hectares since 2000 [3]. Reversing this fact can have substantial advantages. It can help improve the food and water supply, reduce GHG emissions, and mitigate adverse effects related to climate change.

The restoration of ecosystems is vital across global international conventions and agreements to achieve their goals and priorities regarding biodiversity, climate change, desertification, and a sustainable future. Global action is required to restore ecosystems and enhance positive global impact. Investment in ecosystem restoration projects can deliver many advantages to society, including biodiversity conservation [4]. At an international level, restoring the ecosystem degradation is essential to maintain temperatures below 2°C [5, 6]. IUCN calls for collective action to set the world on a transformational trajectory in the UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration, enabling the implementation of the Post-2020 Framework [7]. The UN also calls for accelerated and scaled-up ecosystem restoration by 50% to reverse loss in the area by 2030. They focused on spatial integrated planning in all ecosystems to cover 50% of the land, freshwater, and ocean regions by 2030 to reduce pressure on ecosystems and maximize biodiversity and ecosystem services.

Microbes and microbiomes are vital in ecosystem restoration [6, 8]. They contribute to nutrient cycling, decomposition of organic materials, soil fertility maintenance, and crop productivity enhancement [6]. Bacteria, archaea, and fungi exhibit distinct assemblies along vertical and horizontal profiles in reforested ecosystems. The diversity of bacteria and fungi decreases with increasing soil depth while archaeal diversity increases. As reforestation progresses, bacterial

communities' vertical and spatial variation declines while archaeal and fungal communities proliferate. The distribution patterns of soil microbes are linked to the soil's physical and biochemical properties and the existence of plant roots. Bacterial and archaeal communities play influential roles in deep and superficial soil layers in multi-nutrient cycling. Soil fungi comprise various dynamic kingdoms of eukaryotes and are vital for maintaining ecosystem processes and functions [6].

Understanding microbial community assembly processes and biogeochemical cycling during ecosystem restoration is critical for optimizing management strategies [9]. Factors such as variation in community assembly processes, measurable microbial community attributes, and linkages to ecosystem function must be considered. By examining microbial succession, insights can be gained into microbial community structures in various ecosystem recoveries. They can help determine the success of ecosystem restoration efforts and maintain ecosystem stability [10]. Native mycorrhizal fungal communities play a significant role in restoring native plants [11]. Understanding microbial community assembly processes, managing microbial contamination risks, and identifying effective bio-indicators of soil health are crucial for optimizing ecosystem restoration efforts and promoting ecosystem stability and resilience. This chapter discusses an overview of microbes and microbiomes' role in ecosystem restoration.

CAUSES OF ECOSYSTEM DESTRUCTION AND THEIR IMPACTS

Ecosystem degradation is defined as a long-term reduction in the structure and functionality of the ecosystem or loss in delivering services and proficiency to benefit people. The significant causes of ecosystem destruction and their impacts are overviewed in the following sub-headings. The essential causes of ecosystem destruction are shown in Fig. (1), which is happening at an alarming rate due to various anthropogenic activities such as changes in land use practices, unrestricted natural resources utilization, deforestation, habitat loss, climate change, warming ocean waters, ocean acidity, and pollution.

Resource Exploitation

Resource exploitation, particularly mining and extraction activities, can positively and negatively impact ecosystems, socio-economics, and the environment. Saputra and co-workers [12] investigated the effect of sand mining in Indonesia and reported its promising impact on the socio-economics and environment. They also stated that the river deepens after sand mining, enabling it to hold considerable water and control overflow during the rainy season. Similarly, exploiting mineral resources like coal can impact local communities and

CHAPTER 2

Role of Environmental Factors Influencing Microbes and Microbiomes for Ecosystem Restoration

Divya Pooja^{1,*}, Shiv Prasad¹, Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh^{1,2,3} and C. Avinash¹

¹ Division of Environment Science, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, India

² Division of Environment Sciences, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, SRM College of Agricultural Sciences, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Baburayanpettai - 603201, Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu, India

³ INTI International University, Persiaran Perdana BBN, Putra Nilai, 71800 Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia

Abstract: Ecosystem degradation poses a significant and growing environmental threat. Restoring degraded ecosystems is vital to restoring their ability to provide essential services and benefits. In 2021, the United Nations declared the Decade of Ecosystem Restoration to emphasize the importance of coordinated efforts in this area. Microbes, with their stress tolerance, genetic diversity, adaptation to various conditions, and capacity to break down substances, are crucial for ecosystem sustainability. Their critical functions are vital in restoring ecosystem function and biodiversity. This chapter describes the role of microbes in a microbiome and their interactions, instilling optimism about their potential. It also covers how various factors shape the soil microbiome spatially and temporally. Soil microorganisms such as bacteria, archaea, and fungi are found around, on, and in plant roots, and they play an essential role in responding to abiotic stressors. Factors like soil conditions, geographical and climatic factors, and stressors like drought, pollutants, and salinity can result in distinct microbial compositions and characteristics. This chapter provides an in-depth overview of how these factors can impact soil microbial communities and their role in ecological restoration. This chapter also covers beneficial microbiome-based strategies, including microbial engineering for ecosystem restoration. These strategies are essential and a source of hope for the future.

Keywords: Abiotic stressors, Ecosystem restoration, Environmental factors, Microbes, Microbiome.

* Corresponding author Divya Pooja: Division of Environmental Science, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India; email: poojativya75@gmail.com

Shiv Prasad, Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh, Murugaiyan Sinduja, Velusamy Sathya, Ramesh Poornima & Sangilidurai Karthika (Eds.)

All rights reserved-© 2024 Bentham Science Publishers

INTRODUCTION

Population growth, industrialization, and urbanization have greatly influenced the environment. As a result of developmental activities, the environment has degraded. The significant impacts of developmental activities include habitat degradation, biodiversity loss, environmental pollution, and global climate change [1]. One of the leading causes of the decline in biodiversity and the integrity of ecosystems is anthropogenic pressure. The ecosystems need to be more resilient to cope with the degradation activities. This necessitates the urgency for ecological restoration. According to the Society for Ecological Restoration Science and Policy Working Group, the process of assisting in recovering an ecosystem that has been degraded, damaged, or destroyed is known as ecological restoration [2]. It entails various tasks like eliminating invasive species, reintroducing native species, and enhancing soil health. In 2021, the United Nations (UN) declared the Decade of Ecosystem Restoration, a significant global initiative in response to the destruction and degradation of ecosystems. This proclamation recognizes the need to rapidly restore damaged ecosystems worldwide to restore their ability to offer necessary services and benefits [3]. The majority of ecological restoration projects aim to stop further changes and restore ecosystems and ecosystem processes to their pre-injured state. The goal of restoration is to bring an ecosystem back to a functional state by reinstating its biodiversity, enhancing nutrient cycling and energy flow, improving the fertility of soil, water regulation, protecting against soil erosion, pest control, maintenance of a variety of pollinator species services, and reduction in CO₂ emissions and its buildup, among other factors. Microbes play an essential role in ecosystem restoration. Table 1 provides an overview of the ecological functions of microorganisms.

Microbiome refers to distinctive microbial communities, including viruses, fungi, bacteria, archaea, protozoa, and other micro-eukaryotes occupying well-defined habitats [11]. Also, these are the rich reservoirs of biodiversity that possess the ability to conserve and restore the functioning of various ecosystems. Microbiomes exist in habitats such as humans, plants, soils, sediments, and livestock animals. Many scientific disciplines depend heavily on microbiomes, including the medical, veterinary, and environmental sciences. A schematic representation of the application of microbiomes in environmental sciences is given in Fig. (1).

Microbiomes are not just crucial for developing novel, long-lasting bioeconomy applications, such as industrial biotechnology and waste recycling. They also hold the potential to address urgent social concerns. Applications of the microbiome as pre and probiotic food supplements, biofertilizers, and biocontrol agents are

anticipated to significantly aid in eradicating hunger, stopping biodiversity loss, and slowing climate change. This potential gives us hope and inspires us to continue our research and application of microbiomes in various sectors.

Table 1. The major groups of microbes and their ecological roles.

Type of Microbes	Beneficial Roles	Ecological Function	References
Archaea	Nitrification, methanogenesis, <i>etc</i>	Regulation of climatic and atmospheric conditions, nutrient cycling, <i>etc</i>	[4]
Chemoautotrophic bacteria	Sulfate reduction, iron oxidation, nitrogen fixation, <i>etc</i>	Purification of water, cycling of nutrients, and climate control	[5]
Heterotrophic bacteria	Mineralization, oxidation of organic matter, synthesis of polymers, <i>etc</i>	Decomposition, water filtration, nutrient cycling, carbon sequestration, and climate control	[6]
Photoautotrophic bacteria	Photosynthesis	Chlorophyll production	[7]
Fungi	Organic matter consumption and mineralization	Soil formation, nutrient cycling, <i>etc</i>	[8]
Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi	Nutrient cycling	Primary product (indirect)	[9]
Protozoans	Mineralization and consumption of other microbes, wastewater management	Mineralization, nutrition cycling, organic matter decomposition, <i>etc</i>	[10]
Virus	Cell lysis	Biogeochemical cycling, microbial evolution	[10, 11]




Fig. (1). Application of microbiomes in various sectors.

Part 2: Role of Microbiomes in Restoring Polluted Ecosystem and Environment

CHAPTER 3

Microbial-assisted Bioremediation: A Greener Approach for Restoration of Heavy Metal-contaminated Soil

Yogesh Dashrath Naik¹, Rohit Das², Santosh Kumar², Konderu Niteesh Varma³, S.T.M. Aravindharajan³ and Viabhav Kumar Upadhyay^{4,*}

¹ Department of Agricultural Biotechnology and Molecular Biology, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India

² Department of Microbiology, School of Life Sciences, Sikkim University, Gangtok - 737102, Sikkim, India

³ Division of Microbiology, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

⁴ Department of Microbiology, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India

Abstract: Heavy metals (HMs) pollution is a major environmental concern, posing serious threats to human health and ecological systems. Anthropogenic activities have increased the levels of HMs in the environment, and their pollution is a major issue. Exposure to high levels of these metals can have harmful effects on human health, and they can also damage soil structure, diminish microbial biodiversity, and inhibit plant growth and development. In addition, traditional remediation methods for HMs contaminated soil are often expensive and negatively impact the environment. In recent years, microbial-assisted bioremediation has emerged as a promising and eco-friendly alternative for HM remediation. This approach utilizes microorganisms to transform, immobilize, or detoxify HMs, making them less harmful and more accessible for removal. This chapter highlights the eco-friendly use of microorganisms, the mechanisms that contribute to the bioremediation of HMs, and their potential use in the future.

Keywords: Bioleaching, Biosorption, Bioaccumulation, Biomineralization, Environment, Heavy metals, Microorganisms, Microbial-assisted bioremediation, Microbial diversity, Plant growth, Soil.

* Corresponding author Viabhav Kumar Upadhyay: Department of Microbiology, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India; E-mail: viabhav.amu@gmail.com

Shiv Prasad, Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh, Murugaiyan Sinduja, Velusamy Sathya, Ramesh Poornima & Sangilidurai Karthika (Eds.)

All rights reserved-© 2024 Bentham Science Publishers

INTRODUCTION

Heavy metals (HMs) are generally defined as metallic elements and metalloids that have a high atomic weight and density, typically above 5 g/cm³. From the biological and environmental science perspective, the term 'heavy metals' is often used to describe a group of metals and metalloids that are toxic to plants and animals even at low concentrations because these metals can accumulate in living tissue and interfere with biological processes, leading to a range of HM health problems and environmental damage. HMs are naturally occurring elements found in soil, water, and rocks. However, human activities like industrialization, urbanization, mining, smelting, burning of fossil fuels, and use of pesticides and fertilizers have led to increased levels of these metals in the environment, particularly in soil, water, and air [1, 2]. The HMs of particular concern due to their toxicity and prevalence in the environment include chromium, mercury, arsenic, cadmium, lead, nickel, copper, and zinc [3]. The physicochemical properties of HMs, such as their ubiquity, non-biodegradability, toxicity, accumulation, and persistence, have made their pollution a significant global concern [4]. As a result, there is growing recognition of the link between HM contamination and public health. The toxicity of HMs to living organisms depends on various factors, including the type of metal, the concentration, and the duration of exposure [5]. High levels of these metals can harm human health, such as neurological damage, cancer, and respiratory problems [6]. HMs can damage soil structure, diminish microbial biodiversity, and inhibit plant growth and development, reducing crop production and quality [2]. However, various microbial species and plants have developed unique coping mechanisms to deal with the toxicity of HM in polluted soils [2, 4]. These adaptations can range from changes in their cell structure and physiology to changes in their gene expression and metabolism. These adaptations can be used for bioremediation, which uses microorganisms and plants to remove or detoxify HMs from polluted soils [7]. Physicochemical techniques such as excavation, soil washing, and chemical stabilization can effectively remove or immobilize HMs from contaminated soil. However, these techniques are often expensive, energy-intensive, and generate toxic waste, making them unsuitable for large-scale remediation projects.

Additionally, these methods may not be environmentally friendly or inappropriate for soils with low metal contamination levels. As a result, biological remediation strategies, such as phytoremediation and bioremediation, are becoming increasingly popular due to their cost-effectiveness, sustainability, and ability to restore soil health. Bioremediation technologies based on microorganisms have become increasingly popular in recent years due to their effectiveness in removing environmental pollutants [8]. Microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, and algae can transform, immobilize, or detoxify HMs through various mechanisms [2, 9].

The process involves various mechanisms, including bioleaching, biosorption, bioaccumulation, and biomineralization [10]. Bioleaching involves using microorganisms to solubilize and mobilize HMs from the environment, making them more accessible for removal. Biosorption involves binding HMs to the surface of microbial cells, which can then be easily removed from the environment. Bioaccumulation involves the accumulation of HMs within microbial cells, usually in specialized compartments such as vacuoles, which can then be easily removed from the environment. Finally, biomineralization involves the conversion of HMs into less toxic forms, such as metal sulfides or metal carbonates, which are then immobilized in the environment. The current chapter highlights the eco-friendly use of microorganisms and their mechanisms that contribute to the bioremediation of HMs.

HEAVY METALS: SOURCES AND TOXICITY

HMs are a group of elements with a high density (greater than 5 g/cm³ or a specific gravity at least five times greater than water) and tend to be toxic to living organisms in high concentrations [2]. HMs can be divided into two main categories: essential and non-essential HMs. Some HMs are necessary for living organisms in small amounts for growth and development; these are called essential HMs, and examples include iron, copper, zinc, and manganese [2, 11]. Exposure to high levels of non-essential HMs, like lead, mercury, cadmium, and arsenic, can harm human health. They are not required for normal biological function and can be toxic in large amounts. High concentrations of these metals can cause damage to the nervous system, liver, and kidneys, increasing the risk of cancer [12]. Natural processes and anthropogenic activities are responsible for the entry of HMs into the soil. However, compared to natural processes, anthropogenic activities are more critical for causing HM pollution [13]. Some soil types have naturally high concentrations of HMs due to the parent materials from which they are formed. These soils are often found in areas with high levels of volcanic activity or mineral deposits, which can contain high levels of HMs such as lead, cadmium, arsenic, and mercury [14]. For instance, San Joaquin Valley in California is where naturally occurring geological vents have elevated selenium levels in the soil [13].

HMs are primarily derived from the parent materials from which the soil was formed. Approximately 95% of the Earth's crust comprises igneous rocks, with the remaining 5% comprising sedimentary rocks [13]. Generally, basaltic igneous rocks are abundant in HMs like cadmium, copper, cobalt, and nickel, whereas shale typically has higher concentrations of lead, copper, zinc, manganese, and cadmium [15]. HMs contained in rocks can enter the soil system through various natural processes such as weathering, erosion, leaching, and volcanic activity

Part 3: Role of Microbiomes in Agriculture Crop Growth and Development

CHAPTER 4

Role of Microbes and Microbiomes in Biofertilizer Production and as Plant Growth Promoters

Nikul B. Chavada^{1,*} and Ramesh Poornima²

¹ Om College of Science, Bheshan Highway, Junagadh- 362001, Gujarat, India

² Department of Environmental Sciences, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India

Abstract: In 2050, 8.3 billion people will live on Earth, and 70 to 100% more food will be needed. Food and its products are available through agricultural practices. Soil biological systems play an essential role in food production. However, it is a complex process that leads to the stability of crop production and the maintenance of soil health. Healthy food with eco-friendly agriculture practices is required to sustain the soil ecosystem globally. Additionally, the continued depletion of the Earth's natural resources and the increasing use of harmful chemical fertilizers are significant concerns for agriculture's future. Biofertilizers are gaining popularity as a viable alternative to unsafe chemical fertilizers in the pursuit of sustainable agriculture. Biofertilizers have an important role in enhancing crop output and preserving long-term soil fertility, both of which are critical for fulfilling global food demand. Microbes can interact with agricultural plants to improve their resistance, growth, and development. Nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, zinc, and silica are the fundamental elements needed for crop growth, yet they are normally present in insoluble or complex forms. Certain microbes dissolve them and make them accessible to plants.

Keywords: Biofertilizer, Biological N₂ fixing bacteria, PGPR (Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria), PSB.

INTRODUCTION

Farmers are applying various crop nutrition tactics to assist and fulfill the increasing demand for food caused by the world's population growth. According to FAO predictions, agricultural product consumption will climb to 60% by 2030 [1]. One of the primary issues of the twenty-first century is increasing output while protecting the environment [2]. Fertilizers have been widely utilized to boost crop output from agricultural land. Increased use of chemical fertilizers in agriculture may help a country become self-sufficient in food production, but

* Corresponding author Nikul B. Chavada: Om College of Science, Bheshan Highway, Junagadh- 362001, Gujarat, India; E-mail: nikulfriends8@gmail.com

chemicals are harmful to both the environment and living beings. Furthermore, chemical fertilizers are costly, have an impact on the soil, impair its water-holding capacity and fertility, induce nutrient imbalances in the soil, and result in unsustainable levels of water contamination [1]. Biofertilizers, on the other hand, are environmentally benign, cost-effective, non-toxic, and simple to apply; they assist in sustaining agricultural land soil structure and biodiversity. As a result, they are an excellent replacement for chemical fertilizers [3].

In the period 2022-2027, the biofertilizer market is expected to grow 13.81%, according to a new report by IMARC Group (Bio-Fertilizer Market, forecast 2029, CAGR report by the United States biofertilizer market), where the India biofertilizer market is expected to grow 15.89% (CAGR report) [4]. Growth controllers, genetically modified crops (GM crops), and tolerant varieties have been applied to improve crop resistance to salt stress [5 - 7]. These methods have been restricted due to their cost, labor work, and environmental threats. The soil needs several essential minerals to carry out plant growth. Very significant minerals are nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Other 13 minerals as microelements are required for plant development and yield production [8 - 10]. They describe two categories based on their quantitative requirement: 1) macronutrients and 2) micronutrients. Nitrogen and phosphorus are necessary for plant growth and development; potassium is the third essential plant nutrient [11]. After nitrogen and phosphorus, five million tonnes of potash are required every year in the world, but in India, the commercial source is not available for potassic fertilizer production, so it is imported from other countries [12, 13].

Biofertilizers, also known as microbial inoculants, are organic materials formed from plant roots and root zones that include particular microorganisms. They have been demonstrated to increase plant growth and yield by 10-40% [14]. When applied to the seed, plant surface, or soil, these bioinoculants colonize the rhizosphere and the interior of the plant, stimulating plant development. By supplying nutrients to the soil, they not only boost soil fertility and crop yield but also protect the plant against pests and diseases. They have been found to improve root system development, lengthen its life, destroy hazardous elements, boost seedling survival, and shorten the time to bloom [15]. Another advantage is that after 3-4 years of continuous usage of biofertilizers, there is no need for their application since parental inoculum is adequate for development and multiplication [16]. The plant needs 17 critical ingredients for effective growth and development. Nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) are three of the most important. Several microorganisms, including phosphate-solubilizing bacteria, moulds, and fungi, and nitrogen-fixing soil bacteria and cyanobacteria, are routinely utilized as biofertilizers [17]. Similarly, phytohormone-generating bacteria are utilized in the manufacture of biofertilizers. They give the plant

growth-promoting chemicals such as amino acids, indole acetic acid (IAA), and vitamins, thereby improving soil fertility and plant productivity.

Biofertilizers contain efficient bio-inoculants that improve the nutrient quality of plants and regulate their physiological properties [14, 18]. Biofertilizers are produced by soil-helpful living microorganisms [19]. Synthetic fertilizers can be applied to plants to improve plant growth. In soil systems, chemical fertilizers can cause leaching, volatilization, acidification, and denitrification if not appropriately managed [20 - 23]. Synthetic fertilizers and pesticides have caused several environmental issues (greenhouse effect, ozone layer depletion, and water acidification). The application of bio-fertilizers and biopesticides can overcome these issues. In addition to being natural and beneficial, both are eco-friendly for the user. Community waste and sewage sludge are alternative sources of organic fertilizer. It is an inexpensive and attractive source of nutrients [21, 24]. However, due to the presence of heavy metals and some poisonous chemicals, it cannot be used as an ideal organic fertilizer; heavy metals produce adverse effects on crop growth, so they cannot be an appropriate source of fertilizer [20].

Biofertilizers are grouped into different types on the basis of their functions and mode of action (Fig. 1). The commonly used biofertilizers are nitrogen fixer (N-fixers), potassium solubilizer (K-solubilizer), phosphorus solubilizer (P-solubilizer), and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). In one gram of fertile soil, up to 10^{10} bacteria can be present, with a live weight of 2000 kg ha^{-1} [25]. Soil bacteria could be cocci (sphere, $0.5 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$), bacilli (rod, $0.5\text{--}0.3 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$), or spiral shaped ($1\text{--}100 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$). The presence of bacteria in the soil depends upon the physical and chemical properties of the soil, organic matter, and phosphorus contents, as well as cultural activities. However, nutrient fixation and plant growth enhancement by bacteria are key components for achieving sustainable agriculture goals in the future. Microbes also facilitate various nutrient cycles in the ecosystem.

The microbial strains used as biofertilizer and their effect on crop yield are given in Table 1. Burris [26] confirmed that atmospheric nitrogen is fixed biologically in legumes. A French agriculturist suggested that legumes are higher in cereals plants; they can play a vital role in biological nitrogen fixation and may deliver nitrogen to plants. Bacteria, fungi, and blue-green algae are used for biofertilizer applications [19]. They are applied to the rhizosphere of plants to improve their soil ecosystem. Efficient strains are isolated from soil (other environments) and are suitable for soil environments and climate [27 - 29]. These strains are multiplied in the laboratory to produce a suitable mass of isolates and then provide farmers with suitable carriers like peat and lignite powder to have a good shelf life for their farm.

CHAPTER 5

Role of Microbes and Microbiomes in Biotic and Abiotic Stress Management in Agriculture

M. Vijayalakshmi^{1,*}, Christobel R. Gloria Jemmi¹, G. Ramanathan² and S. Karthika³

¹ V.V. Vanniaperumal College for Women, Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India

² Sri Paramakalyani College, Alwarkurichi, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu, India

³ Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract: Agriculture is our sensible recreation and the foremost food source for all animals and human beings. It gives laurels to us, but knowingly or unknowingly, agricultural systems face stress, resource quality degradation, and depletion by human activities. Abiotic stresses, such as nutrient deficiency, water logging, extreme cold, frost, heat, and drought, affect agricultural productivity. Biotic factors like insects, weeds, herbivores, pathogens, bacteria, viruses, fungi, parasites, algae, and other microbes limit good-quality products. Climate change leads to more complications when interpreting how plants and microbes interact to protect themselves from stress. Plants need water, carbon, and nutrients to grow. The extreme conditions mentioned restrict the growth of plants. Although plants can sense and exhibit natural mechanisms during stress conditions, increased non-sustainable agricultural practices and other human activities lead to highly stressful conditions for plant growth and yield. While in stressful situations, fungi play an essential role in energy transfer and uptake of nutrients by releasing the adverse effects of stress on plant growth. Many strategies in bacteria and fungi need to be addressed here, including stress conditions such as cysts and spore formation, cell membrane deformation, production of damage repair enzymes, and chemical synthesis to relieve stress. The mechanism of salt tolerance, symbiotic microbes, xenobiotics, and hazardous tolerance genes induces plant growth in unfavorable conditions. In recent days, technological improvements such as gene modification by genetic engineering have shown the potential to enhance the positive effects on agricultural production and products.

Keywords: Microbiomes, stress management, agriculture, climate change, mitigation.

* Corresponding author M. Vijayalakshmi: V.V. Vanniaperumal College for Women, Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India; E-mail: vijimicrobes@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

In every ecosystem, plants are vital to its survival, as are furry animals and microscopic bacteria. Therefore, soil microflora cannot be sustained without a balanced ecosystem. Soil microbes are vital in restoring soil fertility and breaking down agricultural waste, particularly bacteria. They act as an ecological transformation inducer and are apt to live in geochemically extreme environments. Extremophiles can be divided into oligotrophic, acidophilic, alkaliphilic, thermophilic, psychrophilic, and sulfidophilic [1]. Based on oxygen requirements, these are named aerobes, anaerobes, and facultative. In the modern world, plants adapt to grow in all conditions because of recent technological inventions. However, microorganisms are native to the soil; they can change their potential effect with the least changes.

Bacteria are active in the soil near the roots, called rhizosphere. They are categorized as decomposers, mutualistic bacteria, pathogens, and lithotrophs, and they form micro-aggregates, which are very important for water filtration and holding. These live under starvation conditions or stress. Once it turns into a flourishing state, the crop yield and soil fertility improve. *Aeromonas*, *Streptomyces*, and *Actinomyces* release “geosmin”, which provides an earthy smell. *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum*, and *Clostridium* also fix nitrogen without the host plant [2].

Rhizobium removes nitrogen from the atmosphere and converts it into ammonia. *Arthrobacteria* sp. is involved in nitrification by turning ammonia into nitrite and nitrate. *Nitrosomonas* sp. convert ammonia to nitrite, and *Nitrobacter* spp. can convert nitrites into nitrates. However, denitrifying bacteria enable the conversion of nitrate to atmospheric nitrogen. Lithotrophs get their energy from other than carbon compounds. Interestingly, the sulphur-oxidizing and sulphur-reducing bacteria are available in the soil bed. Without bacteria, new plant populations struggle to survive. The food chain begins with solar energy, and decomposers act as the vital components at the end. Algae are universal in soils, permanent ice, snowfields, hot springs, and cold deserts. They also produce oxygen for human consumption and other aerobic microbes in our ecosystems [3]. They are the leading producers of organic compounds and play a significant role in the food chain in aquatic and agricultural areas. Mainly, in agriculture, the algae are used as a biofertilizer and soil stabilizer by providing nitrogen and phosphorus. These are grown worldwide for human consumption as dietary supplements. They can yield carbon in the desert and wild lands with minimal freshwater demands and reduce soil erosion.

The biological nitrogen fixation using blue-green algae is termed Algalization [4]. This will help bring the water, micro, and macronutrients of calcium, potassium, and magnesium into the upper layer. This process will maintain the soil structure and property of nutrient uptake up to 30 - 40 kg N/ha/Season. In the world, 90% of marine plants are algae, and 50% of the agricultural microbes almost belong to the algal community. All the molecules of oxygen we inhale come from algae and plants. Cyanobacteria are usually used in rice paddies everywhere in Asia. Marginal soils, such as saline-alkaline and limestone soils are applied [5].

In the emerging microbiome, the marine algae (red and brown algae) are cast off as organic fertilizers on farmlands, capable of reducing atmospheric nitrogen to ammonia *via* heterocysts, and a superior category of seaweeds produce polymers of agars, alginates, tannins, antibiotics, colorants, pigments, defense ingredients for the plant growth. *Tolypothrix tenuis* is grown and added to the rice field for increased yield [6]. In Thailand, *Chlorella* and *Dunaliella* are commercially available for the treatment of wastewater and have been successfully maintained for 55 years for irrigation purposes. The goal of agriculture is to improve existing cultivars and to develop new cultivars.

CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS

Climate and agriculture are interconnected; a slight change in climate affects agriculture adversely. The warning of our atmosphere is now impossible to avoid. Climate change indirectly contributes to ocean acidification, shrinking glaciers, and destroying coral reefs and other aquatic plants and animals. Climate change will significantly affect rural communities around the world. According to the Ministry of Agriculture, approximately 40 million hectares of agricultural land in India was severely damaged by hydro-meteorological disasters in 2022. The glaciers will disappear in 80 years, and their cores show a rich chronological history of unique, frozen microbial life reported by the IPCC - International Panel on Climate Change, Fifth Assessment Report 2014 [7]. They also identified the impacts of climate change on biodiversity, ecosystems, and human communities at global and regional levels and examined the vulnerability and ability of the natural world and human societies to adapt to climate change. Microbes have been found in thawed permafrost for more than 400,000 years.

The temperature in the Arctic has risen by 3 to 5°C, according to the IPCC Special Report on Oceans and the Cryosphere [8]. The temperature affects the yield negatively; the opposite applies to the precipitation conditions. Using the probability ratio (PR) concept, we can measure how much of the change in the probability of extreme events is due to a change in the average climate. The biodiversity loss will lead to the maximum percentage of species at high risk of

Part 4: Role of Microbes in Sustainable Waste Management for Protecting our Ecosystem

CHAPTER 6

Role of Microbes and Microbiomes in Wastewater Treatment for Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration

Suganthi Rajendran^{1,*}, Sinduja Murugaiyan², Poornima Ramesh³ and Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh^{4,5,6}

¹ JSA College of Agriculture and Technology, Avatti, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu, India

² National Agro Foundation, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

³ Department of Environmental Sciences, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India

⁴ Division of Environment Science, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, India

⁵ Division of Environmental Sciences, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, SRM College of Agricultural Sciences, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Baburayanpettai-603201, Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu, India

⁶ INTI International University, Persiaran Perdana BBN, Putra Nilai, 71800 Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia

Abstract: Industrial development improves our life quality. Nevertheless, the industries, such as those producing paper and pharmaceutical products, generate large amounts of industrial wastewater. This wastewater contains various pollutants, which are organic and inorganic. Various physical, chemical, and biological methods have been employed to eliminate the pollutants. Both physical and chemical methods involve more capital and produce secondary contaminants. During wastewater treatment, the wastewater microbiome facilitates the degradation of organic matter, reduction of nutrients, and removal of pathogens and parasites. For the purification of water and the preservation of the ecosystem, microbes in wastewater treatment are crucial. However, little is known about how microbial diversity is controlled and for what reasons. The varied microbial community supports flocculation, heterotrophic respiration, nitrification under aerobic conditions, and denitrification under anaerobic conditions. Although recycled water is reinstated for recreational and agricultural use, biomonitoring is vital for assessing treatment effectiveness. Microorganism-based biological treatment is developing as an effective and environmentally friendly method. This chapter thoroughly introduces biological wastewater treatment, growth and kinetics, and different microbial community types that include bacteria and fungus, actinomycetes, algae, plants, and the range of microbial wastewater treatment, among other topics.

* Corresponding author Suganthi Rajendran: JSA College of Agriculture and Technology, Avatti, Cuddalore, Tamil Nadu, India; E-mail: suganthi.tamilselvi@gmail.com

Shiv Prasad, Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh, Murugaiyan Sinduja, Velusamy Sathya, Ramesh Poornima & Sangilidurai Karthika (Eds.)

All rights reserved-© 2024 Bentham Science Publishers

Keywords: Aerobic process, Biological treatment, Anaerobic process, Bioreactors, Mycoremediation, Microbes, Phytoremediation.

INTRODUCTION

Microbiomes are crucial in biological wastewater treatment methods such as activated sludge, anaerobic digestion, and bioelectrochemical systems. The microbial population's activity and resilience in the microbiome significantly impact the performance and stability of these activities. However, it is challenging to manage these wastewater treatment processes properly, and it is hard to anticipate their efficiency because of the complex nature of the microbiota (microbial type, role, and interactions) [1]. Biological wastewater treatment is regarded as a biological and chemical process that governs the removal and degradation of organic, inorganic, trace, and recalcitrant contaminants in the wastewater [2]. It is also considered an efficient, reliable, and cost-efficient technology for removing pollutants. The diminution of organic matter in the aquatic ecosystem is primarily mediated by microbial respiration and photochemical degradation [3, 4], which strengthens microbes as a feasible candidate for treating wastewater. In recent decades, the increasing occurrence of trace contaminants altogether, with their persistent, bioaccumulative, and toxic nature, threatens the homeostasis of the ecosystem, which has become a critical concern [5]. The diversified pollutants contain personal care products, pharmaceutically active compounds, steroids, antibiotics, chemicals, endocrine disruptors, pesticides, artificial sweeteners, and metabolites [6, 7]. These emerging contaminants do not yet have many regulations regarding their limits.

The generation of wastewater increases multi-fold because of industries and the overexploitation of freshwater resources. Wastewater treatment is always linked with waste recovery and its optimum utilization, which broadens the amplitude of wastewater treatment, enhancing the quality of the byproducts as an efficient alternative for non-potable purposes. Composition, concentration, volume produced, compatibility to treatment, and environmental impact must be considered before selecting the appropriate treatment for the particular wastewater that ropes microbes in since it can detoxify the discrete pollutants. Microorganisms detoxify pollutants through various mechanisms, and the application of microorganisms in treating the wastewater determines the efficiency of pollutant elimination. This section explores various biological treatment processes, possibilities, and the limitations associated with those treatment processes.

WASTEWATER TREATMENT

Even conventional biological wastewater treatment has specific implications, such as high electricity consumption, high-rate filters, and surplus sludge biomass, which lead to their enhancements. The application of microorganisms at various treatment phases significantly influences wastewater treatment efficiency.

Principles of Biological Wastewater Treatment

Samer [8] stated the following principles of biological wastewater treatment.

- The biological system existing in the wastewater treatment system is susceptible to hydraulic loads. Variation greater than 250% is said to be problematic.
- Temperature largely influences the growth of microorganisms. A reduction of 10°C decreases the rate of biological reactions.
- At doses between 60 and 500 mg L⁻¹, treatment effectiveness is higher. If it is above 500, it can be coupled with an anaerobic process.
- The strength of the wastewater should not be more than 150% or 1000 mg L⁻¹ BOD; otherwise, equalization has to be done to ensure the safer strength of wastewater entering the treatment system.
- The carbon: nitrogen: phosphorous ratio in the wastewater should be from 100:20:1 to 100:5:1 for higher treatment efficiency.
- Wastewater should undergo pretreatment

Biological Growth and Kinetics

The biological growth in wastewater treatment plants can be ascribed from the Monod equation [8]: the activity of bacteria and the speed of metabolic processes are influenced by a number of variables. The most crucial criterion is the environment's temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen level within the wastewater, nutritional content, and other contaminants. These beneficial elements are used in fermenters to promote the microbial community's development and reactors' efficiency in wastewater treatment.

$$\mu = \frac{(\lambda S)}{(K_s + S)}$$

Where,

μ stands for the specific growth rate coefficient,

λ for the maximum growth rate coefficient at $0.5 \mu_{\max}$,

CHAPTER 7

Role of Microbes and Microbiomes in Solid Waste Management for Ecosystem Restoration

Sathya Velusamy^{1,*}, Murugaiyan Sinduja², R. Vinothini³ and Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh^{4,5,6}

¹ Environmental Scientist, Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

² National Agro Foundation, Taramani, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

³ MIT College of Agricultural and Technology, Musiri, Tamil Nadu, India

⁴ Division of Environment Science, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, India

⁵ Division of Environmental Sciences, Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, SRM College of Agricultural Sciences, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Baburayanpettai-603201, Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu, India

⁶ INTI International University, Persiaran Perdana BBN, Putra Nilai, 71800 Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia

Abstract: Solid waste disposal is a major issue that is getting worse every day as more people move into cities. Solid waste disposal in India and other developing nations frequently involves open dumping and incineration. This practice increases both health risks and already existing pollution issues. To solve this issue with the least amount of environmental impact possible, it is urgently necessary to employ sustainable techniques. There are many environmentally friendly ways to manage solid waste, including composting, vermicomposting, and anaerobic digestion, with additional benefits like generating byproducts. Among them, biological agents play a significant role in solid waste management. This chapter overviews solid waste management and a long-term solution using biological agents and microbes. The distinctive characteristics of microorganisms can be effectively used to revive the environment. Microorganisms can be used as “miracle cures” for biodegradation and remediation of contaminated sites. Today, microorganisms and nanotechnology are used in nano-bioremediation to clean up radioactive waste effectively. Additionally, using genetically modified organisms (GMOs) in severely polluted areas makes the microorganisms beneficial for human welfare and ecosystem restoration. Numerous environmental phenomena, both natural and man-made, depend on microorganisms for maintenance. They perform beneficial roles that improve and optimize human life. Waste management is one of

* Corresponding author Sathya Velusamy: Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India; E-mail: sathyavelu1987@gmail.com

these areas where microorganisms are being used. The proper disposal of the vast amounts of waste that people produce throughout the course of a day presents a significant challenge, one that the government and environmental organizations are constantly looking for new solutions to. Utilizing microorganisms is a crucial component of effectively combating this threat. It discusses the numerous functions of microorganisms in the environment, such as the management of solid waste, and it concludes by highlighting some recent developments in microbiological solid waste management.

Keywords: Contamination, Environment, Microbes, Solid waste management.

INTRODUCTION

India is facing a challenge in waste management due to the tremendous quantity of waste products produced due to urbanization and industrialization. The commercial and industrial sectors benefit the country's GDP while it questions waste disposal and management. Around 377 million people in 7,935 towns and cities generate 62 million tonnes of municipal solid waste (MSW) per year. Out of this amount, only 43 million tonnes (MT) is collected. Out of which, only 11.9 MT is treated, and the untreated 31 MT is dumped in landfill sites [1]. One of the essential services provided by municipal authorities to keep the nation clean is solid waste management (SWM). Appropriate waste segregation at the source is a prerequisite for effective waste management. Depending on the type of waste materials, the segregated waste should be taken further for recycling or resource recovery. The final residue should be appropriately managed and disposed of in sanitary landfills [2]. After proper treatment and disposal of other waste products that cannot be recycled or reused, sanitary landfills are the only remaining option for disposing of municipal solid waste. This waste management option is severely constrained by the cost of transportation [3]. The Environment Protection Act of 1986 established all statutory guidelines for the disposal of generated waste and other management standards as waste generation increased day by day.

Special wastes are subject to different regulations and compliances in areas like the type of authorization, record-keeping, and disposal methods, among others. Although the legal protections are sufficient, all municipalities indiscriminately dump their waste at locations on the edge or inside cities. Therefore, according to experts, India's waste management and disposal system is ineffective [3]. Microorganisms have solved numerous issues that humanity has faced in maintaining environmental quality. They have been successfully applied in several fields, including treating municipal and industrial waste, genetic engineering, environmental protection, and human and animal health. Using microorganisms, feasible and affordable responses that were previously impossible to achieve using chemical or physical engineering techniques are now

possible [4]. Microbial technologies have also been successfully used to address a variety of environmental problems, particularly those related to waste management [5].

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SCENARIO IN INDIA

The waste generation rate has exponentially increased in the last ten years due to the accelerated economy and rapid population growth. In urban India, 68.8 million tonnes of municipal solid waste are produced annually, or about 1,88,500 tonnes per day [6]. However, only 24% of this massive waste is processed correctly, treated, and disposed of. Even though there are scientifically sound techniques such as composting, incineration, and landfilling, open disposal is a popular method since it is the least expensive and easy to adopt [7]. Open dumping is followed by waste landfilling as the primary method of waste treatment and disposal, but the need for larger areas restricts solid waste disposal, particularly in larger cities [8]. Approximately the combined area of the three most populous Indian cities, 1,400 sq. km. of land, will be needed for landfilling solid waste in India by 2047.

Furthermore, the high amounts of hazardous secondary pollutants they generate, such as smells, leachate, and greenhouse gases, restrict the use of landfills for waste treatment. This circumstance points to the need for a practical procedure, such as MSW pretreatment, which is carried out to homogenize the trash and make it easier for waste to be treated using particular biological technologies before being dumped. Despite having access to a multitude of knowledge and resources, municipalities and local governments are nevertheless under considerable pressure to develop a waste treatment technology that is both inexpensive and sustainable. The most comprehensive process that can be used to manage these wastes is composting, especially in the case of Indian genera, where 50–60% of the MSW (C/N ratio 23) collected is biodegradable. Additionally, composting has several environmental advantages over landfilling organic waste. In addition to decreased greenhouse gas emissions from landfills, soil properties like texture, porosity, organic matter, and NPK availability for agricultural applications have improved. In order to improve the waste recycling system, we must comprehend the technical details of this natural, wet waste recycling process and the effects of any operational changes.

ROLE OF MICROORGANISMS IN THE ENVIRONMENT

To transform environmental natural resources into more palatable forms for consumption, there are several anthropogenic activities, including chemical synthesis. Man also causes pollution issues because of the production of goods. The better way to manage the waste generated and discarded in the environment is

Part 5: Traditional and Advanced Techniques for Ecosystem Restoration

CHAPTER 8

Current State and Future Prospects of Microbial Genomics in Ecosystem Restoration

Saraswathy Nagendran^{1,*} and Pooja Mehta¹

¹ SVKM's Mithibai College of Arts, Chauhan Institute of Science & Amrutben Jivanlal College of Commerce and Economics Vile Parle (W), Mumbai, Maharashtra 400056, India

Abstract: Ecosystem degradation through human actions is a global phenomenon. The international society has established goals to stop and reverse these trends, and the restoration industry faces the vital but difficult challenge of putting these goals into practice. Microbial communities are integral to all ecosystems because they perform critical roles like nutrient cycling and other geochemical processes. They are the indicators of the success of ecological restoration, including plantation forests, post-mining areas, oil and gas activities, invasive species management, and soil stabilization. Since the last 2 decades, advancements in microbial genomics have allowed researchers to focus on microbial ecology and dynamics of environmentally balanced vis-a-vis damaged ecosystems. Advancements have significantly improved our capacity to define diversity in microbial ecology and its putative functions in meta-omics methods brought about by developments in high-throughput sequencing (HTS) and bioinformatics. These tools may boost the likelihood that damaged ecosystems will be restored. The current article focuses on using meta-omics techniques to monitor and assess the outcomes of ecological restoration projects and to monitor and evaluate interactions between the various organisms that make up these networks, such as metabolic network mapping. We provide an overview of functional gene editing with the CRISPR/Cas technology to improve microbial bioremediation. The existing understanding will be strengthened by creating more efficient bioinformatics and analysis processes.

Keywords: CRISPR-Cas, Ecosystem restoration, Gene editing, Microbial genomics, Metagenomics.

* Corresponding author Saraswathy Nagendran: SVKM's Mithibai College of Arts, Chauhan Institute of Science & Amrutben Jivanlal College of Commerce and Economics Vile Parle (W), Mumbai, Maharashtra 400056, India; E-mail: saraswathynagendran@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

The process of recovering a degraded ecosystem in order to restore biodiversity and ecological functioning of species equivalent to that of a reference environment is ecological restoration [1 - 3]. Restoration is a tool of global significance to stop biodiversity loss on a global scale [4, 5], and restoration of degraded natural ecosystems has gained recognition [2, 3, 6]. A large portion of the restoration work is predicated on the idea that all animal and microbial species would develop organically when plant species colonize the area, leading to proper ecosystem functioning [7]. However, the significance of the soil microbial community (SMC) to the plant community's expansion, diversity, and abundance cannot be overstated. Due to its diverse impacts on various species, the SMC substantially affects plant performance [8]. It regulates the variety and quantity of plant species [9, 10]. Microbial communities have returned in some restoration projects [11] but not in others, where decades have passed, and microbial community development and nutrient cycling have not occurred [10, 12].

The effectiveness of restoration initiatives must, therefore, be assessed, and without monitoring, it is impossible to determine if restoration efforts have been made or not [13]. Researchers and practitioners can improve future restoration results by evaluating the success of restoration and comparing it to the efficacy of different remediation processes and adjustments [1, 6, 14]. This is crucial for optimizing the restoration program's effectiveness, achieving the anticipated results, and getting the most out of the financial investment. Monitoring offers the chance for adaptive management by showing whether additional interventions may be required to meet goals [6]. Adaptive management can aid in reducing monitoring periods by highlighting situations where it is doubtful that completion conditions will be reached and additional remediation work is thought to be required. As a result, sensitive indications are required to track the degree of restoration [15]. Microbes are essential for constructing soil composition, nutrient cycling, growth and development of plants, the emergence of biodiversity, and ecosystem operations, making them key drivers of ecological restoration [10, 16]. A stable ecosystem is different from a degraded environment in that it has a variety of microbial populations. Thus, these SMCs can serve as reliable indicators to determine how much ecological restoration has been accomplished [10].

In order to count, visualize, and sequence bacterial DNA, microbiologists are increasingly skipping the cultivation process altogether [17]. Several reasons justify this shift in the use of techniques for assessing microbes and microbial communities. First, recent technological developments, cost, and scalability have made DNA sequencing a viable option for biological monitoring, especially for

hard-to-survey populations like microorganisms [14]. Second, with these technologies, it is possible to quickly, accurately, non-destructively, and reliably provide biodiversity data on a range of organisms in an ecosystem. Third, SMCs are dynamic, and little is known about the ecological services they can provide. This intertwine module can be clarified by meta-omics approach to understanding how complex bacteria function in the ecosystem [18]. In this chapter, we delve into the significance of microbial genomics and meta-omics-based tools in ecological restoration

META-OMICS FOR TRACKING AND RESTORATION

Assessing and Tracking Cryptic and Functional Ecosystem Components

Employing field-based monitoring and restoration assessment techniques, surveying microbes or microbial populations is challenging or impossible. In contrast, it is now possible to precisely and quickly describe and quantify these diverse and functional taxonomic groups by sequencing large quantities of environmental DNA or RNA utilizing genomic and, in particular, meta-omic technologies. Eukaryotes like fungi can be tracked using meta-omic methods [19]. However, it is most beneficial for prokaryotes monitoring. On average, 5% of taxa were amenable to the culture-dependent techniques employed to classify prokaryotes. The use of meta-omic has shown that Earth's microbiota is much more diverse than previously believed and that only around 1% of it is culturable. Metabarcoding, metagenomics, metatranscriptomics, and metaproteomics are the meta-omics methods that are most frequently employed.

Metabarcoding

It is a technique that identifies multiple taxa by amplifying DNA barcodes from bulk samples like water or soil environmental DNA (eDNA) using universal primers followed by DNA sequencing and bioinformatics analysis. In a metabarcoding process, DNA is extracted from materials (such as soil, aquatic systems, *etc.*) and then amplified using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). After the amplified product is sequenced and identified using a reference database, sequencing data can be evaluated using a taxonomy-independent methodology to evaluate changes in community profiles. Metabarcoding-based systems can more quickly and affordably generate comprehensive information than traditional monitoring techniques, depending on the monitoring goal [20]. Furthermore, it enables data collection on populations that would otherwise be impossible to see, such as soil microorganisms. This strategy potential has been observed in varied systematic groups, including plants, invertebrates, and vertebrates, as well as in a wide range of diverse environmental contexts, including the tropics, the boreal

CHAPTER 9

Role of Metagenomics and Microbial Diversity in the Restoration of Tropic and Temperate Ecosystems

Anushka Satpathy¹, Koel Mukherjee¹ and Vinod Kumar Nigam^{1,*}

¹ Department of Bioengineering & Biotechnology, Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi-835215, Jharkhand, India

Abstract: The geographical area where all the abiotic and biotic factors interact with each other to make the bubble of life is known as the ecosystem. While many natural and artificial calamities occur to destroy the ecosystem, microbial diversity plays a vital role in maintaining and functioning it. The microbes constitute one-third of the earth's biomass and are composed of enormous genetic diversity from extremely hot (thermophilic) and moderate (mesophilic) to extreme cold (psychrophilic) climatic conditions. Therefore, the principal objective of microbiome research is to elucidate the relationship between microbial diversity and its function in maintaining or restoring the ecosystem. Recent advances in microbial ecology and metagenomic approaches have enabled detailed assessment of the highly complex communities, allowing the establishment of the link between diversity and the function performed by microbes. In this chapter, we will explore some advanced bioinformatic tools for metagenomic studies that can provide quantitative insights into the functional ecology of microbial communities. The detailed study will help us understand the complex microbial diversity in tropical and temperate ecosystems and their functional aspects in ecosystem restoration.

Keywords: Ecosystem restoration, *In silico* approaches, Microbial diversity, Metagenomics, Mesophilic, Psychrophilic, Thermophilic, Tropical ecosystem, Temperate ecosystem.

INTRODUCTION

For ages, nature has always provided us with all the necessities for carrying all forms of life in a wieldy and subtle way. Among all the life forms that live and interact in the ecosystem, humans are known to explore and exploit the ecosystem to meet the rising demands for resources and environmental services. Over the

* Corresponding author Vinod Kumar Nigam: Department of Bioengineering & Biotechnology, Birla Institute of Technology, Mesra, Ranchi-835215, Jharkhand, India; E-mail: vknigam@bitmesra.ac.in

past 50 years, humankind has changed the ecosystem in a more hasty and extensive way than any dated time in human history [1]. The result of these changes, no doubt contributed to substantial net gains in economic development and the well-being of humans. However, it is achieved at the rising price of degrading the ecosystem services, exacerbating poverty in some groups of society, and, most importantly, increasing the risk of nonlinear changes, leading to the irreversible loss in the momentum and diversity of life on Earth [1]. These inevitable consequences impact the biotic and biophysical conditions of the ecosystem. They will lead to the competing demand for finite space and ecosystem services, ultimately resulting in a dearth of infinite resources, confining the recourse to abandon degraded areas, and shifting toward the exploitation of non-degraded ones [1 - 4].

It is quantified in many theories that the ecosystem had the capacity of self-replenishment from the perturbations, but keeping in mind the present scenario, it always becomes questionable whether the ecosystem is able to recover from the present perturbation. And if so, how long will it take? The self-replenishment theory always states that the ecosystem could gradually be replenished from the perturbation at a rate directly proportionate to the rate at which the perturbation is abated [5]. According to the current human impact, it can be easily speculated that such recovery will take centuries [6]. But, of course, while replenishing the ecosystem, the demands of humankind can neither be neglected nor can be kept to a halt.

So, conservation efforts must create a portfolio of imminent opportunities that keep an equilibrium between environmental protection and environmental services for the future generation of the burgeoning human population. One such approach is to combine natural and modern techniques. Microbes are known from eternities for upholding and reinstating the ecosystem and are found in all forms of the environment while managing their survival along with maintaining the ecosystem. Combining the contemporary science techniques like metagenomic or *in silico* approaches to clear our understating of microbial diversity and protagonist in ecosystem restoration in a broader view will provide great assistance in more progressive, innovative, and prompt repossession of bionetwork to achieve our goal of “meeting the needs of the present and future without compromising our mother nature”.

DAMAGING AGENTS OF THE ECOSYSTEM

How is the ecosystem damaged? It is one of the most underrated questions of the era that needs serious attention. The pace of ecosystem damage from anthropogenic and natural impacts is rapidly leading to a biodiversity crisis. The

ecosystem services underpinning human livelihood and the effect of destructed ecosystems on human livelihood are constantly being discussed and highlighted internationally. The Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change Fifth Assessment Report (2014) indicated that the adaptation capacity of the ecosystem is far more limited than that of humans in response to destruction and damage [7]. But our ecosystem is not just limited to humans; our discussion should also not be concise to humankind only. The primary causes of ecosystem damage that are most discussed and well-known are anthropogenic processes and natural hazards. The anthropogenic process is a premeditated human bustle that is non-malicious but may have a negative impact on society and may trigger or catalyze other hazardous or destructive processes [8]. The well-identified examples of such activities are groundwater abstraction, surface mining, vegetation amputation, chemical detonations, infrastructure loading for urbanization, *etc.*

On the other hand, natural hazards are phenomena that occur naturally and may have a negative or deteriorative effect on the ecosystem or biodiversity. Such as earthquakes, landslides, volcanic eruptions, droughts, floods, subsidence, tropical storms, wildfires, *etc* [8]. Natural hazards are a phenomenon that cannot be controlled or prevented. However, nowadays, the major concern is the “interaction,” *i.e.*, the effect of one phenomenon on other phenomena (either anthropogenic or natural) [8] (Fig. 1).




Fig. (1). >Systematic diagram showing the “interaction” of anthropogenic activities and natural hazards.

CHAPTER 10

Basic and Traditional Microbial Techniques in Ecosystem Restoration

R.V. Akil Prasath^{1,*}, S. Akila², M. Shankar³, R. Raveena⁴, M. Prasanthrajan⁵, K. Boomiraj⁴, S. Karthika⁴ and Selvaraj Keerthana⁴

¹ Department of Environmental Science and Management, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli-620024, India

² National Agro Foundation, Research & Development Centre, Anna University Taramani Campus, Taramani, Chennai, Tamil Nadu-600113, India

³ Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, ICAR- Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

⁴ Department of Environmental Sciences, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu-641003, India

⁵ Centre for Agricultural Nanotechnology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu-641003, India

Abstract: The onset of the anthropogenic destruction of ecosystems is one of the ongoing problems that can threaten the existence of organisms, including humans. The emerging problem can be effectively addressed through restoration ecology, a nature-based solution that promises to be cost-effective. Microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses, are omnipresent and provide numerous benefits to the ecosystem, such as sustainable plant productivity, enriched soil nutrients, increased soil carbon pool, decomposition, and a stable environment for human life. Soil microorganisms also play a fundamental role in ecosystem functioning and conserving plant diversity. Exploring voluminous beneficial microorganisms and promoting the reestablishment of these beneficial microbes in the soil will preserve Earth's diverse native plant populations, which, in turn, will help in improving soil and be a vital player in enhancing ecosystem primary productivity, food chain, and locking away atmospheric carbon into its plant body and soil. Microbial restoration can be achieved by basic and traditional methods, *i.e.*, (i) by treating the soil with organic matter-rich manure harvested from bio piles, (ii) composting, (iii) graze manuring, (iv) natural manuring, and (v) plant-assisted microbial restoration technique. Regenerative/carbon farming can also be practiced in parallel to enhance the restoration rate and protect beneficial microbial life in the soil. However, the increasing use of microbial inoculants is also raising several queries about their effectiveness and their impacts on autochthonous soil microorganisms, which should be cautiously considered before introducing bioinoculants for restoration. Even if bioinoculants restore the microbial community,

* Corresponding author **R.V. Akil Prasath:** Department of Environmental Science and Management, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli-620024, India; E-mail: akilprasath13@gmail.com

they have the following shortcomings: (i) prolonged persistence of microbial colonies and detection in soil; (ii) the monitoring of the impact of the introduced bioinoculants on native soil microbial communities, which needs to be monitored examined periodically. This chapter delves into fundamental and conventional techniques and approaches that can be employed to maintain soil microbial populations. Furthermore, the chapter investigates the possibility of creating protocols for regulatory or commercial objectives, emphasizing the significance of ecological restoration by using bioinoculants or microbial colonies in degraded sites.

Keywords: Ecosystem restoration, Ecosystem functioning, Microorganisms, Microbial colonies.

INTRODUCTION

Land degradation has become a global environmental issue, affecting both natural and human systems. Restoration of degraded land is now indispensable since land degradation adversely impacts soil productivity, resulting in a decline in soil organic matter, soil microbial diversity, and water quality, which consequently affects ecosystemic functions and processes [1]. At the same time, microorganisms play a pivotal role in the mineralization, oxidation, reduction, and immobilization of both organic and mineral materials within the soil matrix. Basic and traditional microbial techniques are now envisaged as a viable solution in ecosystem restoration involving microorganisms to improve soil health and the functioning of degraded ecosystems [2]. Microbes, including bacteria and fungi, are crucial components of healthy, productive ecosystems, playing a pivotal role in soil formation, nutrient cycling, and plant growth. Introducing specific beneficial microorganisms into degraded soils can help improve soil quality, increase nutrient availability, and enhance plant growth [3]. Basic and traditional microbial techniques, such as composting, bio piles, graze manure-based restoration, inoculation with beneficial symbiotic bacteria, regenerative agriculture/restoration, and mycorrhizal inoculation, have been practiced by civilizations for centuries to improve soil fertility [4]. These techniques are largely feasible, low-cost, low-input, and environmentally friendly, altogether making them ideal for use in areas with limited resources or where chemical inputs are undesirable. The current chapter aids in understanding the ecological principles underlying basic and traditional microbial techniques, their conceivable applications in ecosystem restoration, and their importance for endorsing more active, sustainable restoration practices.

By increasing soil qualities, microorganisms can play a key role in recovering degraded lands and facilitating ecosystem restoration. Studies suggest that microorganisms can lessen soil degradation and increase soil characteristics, including fertility [5]. This will encourage plant growth, subsequent ecosystem

restoration, and new organic matter produced by bacteria. Collaboration between the domains of microbiology and soil, which has not been very common up to this point, is necessary for such a restoration plan to understand soil microbial dynamics. We accept “ecological restoration” as the most inclusive and enduring phrase to describe the science and practice of repairing harm, deterioration, and destruction at all scales, from a single organism to entire landscapes. Soil microbial communities are an essential part of ecosystems and play crucial roles in ecological processes related to the cycling of carbon (C), nitrogen (N), and phosphorus (P) [6]. Evaluating ecosystem restoration requires an investigation of microbial diversity. The impacts of biochar on microbial biomass and microbial communities, including bacteria, archaea, and fungi, have been the subject of numerous studies. Ecological functions can only be partially understood by studying microbial communities alone.

The ecosystem services delivered by soil, the living skin of Earth, are vital for life: The majority of our antibiotics come from bacteria that live in soil, which also serves as a filter and water storage system, a habitat for a wide variety of creatures, and a medium for plants and heterotrophs to develop in. Climate change, population growth, and land degradation, such as carbon loss, biodiversity decrease, and erosion, present a growing set of challenges for humanity [7]. For example, soil hydrological function is decreased by land degradation, which also affects various other ecosystem services. Changes in hydraulic operation, infiltration and soil moisture storage, carbon cycling, biological activity, movement of nutrients and pollutants, and plant development all contribute to these effects [8]. As soil ecosystem degradation has a growing impact on biodiversity, food production, climate regulation, and human livelihoods, it is essential to understand its effects and take steps to reverse them.

Most soil life consists of microorganisms that control nutrient cycling, break down organic matter, control soil-borne plant diseases, define soil structure, and increase plant productivity [9]. Soil microorganisms facilitate the alteration of the soil environment. On the other hand, research to date has concentrated chiefly on how microbial communities adapt to environmental change, and microbial community structure and diversity can also be employed as indicators of soil health. It was proposed in an article on restoration ecology written 13 years ago that microbial populations, when adequately maintained to support the restoration process and system health, serve as markers of change and support the regeneration of degraded ecosystems. Since then, many researchers have considered the possibility of using microorganisms as ecosystem regulators, particularly to increase crop productivity and engineer dryland restoration. These studies show that microorganisms have the power to change soil functions fundamentally.

CHAPTER 11

Molecular Techniques in Ecosystem Restoration

R. Shivakumar^{1,*} and B. Balaji^{2,3}

¹ Department of Biotechnology, Centre for Plant Molecular Biology and Bioinformatics, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641003, India

² ICAR-National Institute for Plant Biotechnology, LBS Centre, Pusa Campus, New Delhi, India

³ Post Graduate School, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, Pusa, New Delhi-110012, India

Abstract: A damaged ecosystem must be rebuilt to its original form, or a new ecosystem must be created in a degraded area. Ecosystem restoration is a complex procedure. Researchers can now investigate the structure and function of ecosystems at the molecular level thanks to the development of molecular techniques as a potent tool for ecosystem restoration. This chapter examines the application of molecular methods to ecosystem regeneration. The various available molecular methods and how they have been applied to monitor ecosystem health, identify microbial communities in ecosystems, and comprehend interactions between microbes and plants are discussed. The chapter also examines the application of molecular methods to the restoration of ecosystems that have been damaged, including the use of plant-microbe interactions to promote plant development in contaminated soils. The chapter emphasizes the significance of molecular methods in ecosystem restoration and their potential to offer a more precise and thorough comprehension of ecosystem processes. The conclusion highlights the importance of ongoing investigation into the use of molecular methods for ecosystem restoration, especially in creating novel methods and their incorporation with existing restoration techniques. In the end, applying molecular methods can help develop practices for ecological restoration that are more efficient and long-lasting.

Keywords: Bio-sensors, Environmental DNA, Heavy metal pollution check, Metagenomics, Ocean acidification, 16s rRNA sequencing.

INTRODUCTION

Ecosystem restoration is the process of repairing and restoring damaged or degraded ecosystems to their original or functional state. It entails various activities, including habitat restoration, reforestation, soil restoration, and water restoration. Because of growing concerns about climate change, biodiversity loss,

* Corresponding author R. Shivakumar: Department of Biotechnology, Centre for Plant Molecular Biology and Bioinformatics, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641003, India; E-mail: shivakumar.r.writer@gmail.com

and natural resource depletion, ecosystem restoration has become increasingly important in recent years. Molecular techniques have transformed the field of ecosystem restoration by allowing scientists and practitioners to study and comprehend the underlying mechanisms of ecosystem functioning and design effective ecosystem restoration strategies.

This chapter provides an overview of molecular techniques used in ecosystem restoration, such as DNA-, RNA-, and protein-based techniques. The chapter begins by discussing the significance of molecular techniques in ecosystem restoration and the problems that these techniques can help to solve. It then details the various molecular techniques used in ecosystem restoration, their advantages and disadvantages, and their applications in various contexts.

IMPORTANCE OF MOLECULAR TECHNIQUES

For various reasons, molecular techniques have become an indispensable tool for ecosystem restoration. For starters, molecular techniques allow scientists to investigate and comprehend the underlying mechanisms of ecosystem function. Identifying the key species and processes that are critical to ecosystem functioning, as well as the interactions between these species and processes, is part of this. Scientists can design more effective ecosystem restoration strategies and predict the outcomes of restoration activities if they understand the underlying mechanisms of ecosystem functioning.

Second, molecular techniques can assist in addressing some of the challenges associated with ecosystem restoration. Human activities, for instance, exploit a large number of ecosystems, altering their biodiversity and composition. When creating reintroduction plans for native species that were once part of the ecosystem, molecular techniques can be used to identify these species. The identification of genes and traits essential to the survival of native species can also be aided by molecular techniques, as can the selection of individuals with these traits for reintroduction. Monitoring the success of ecosystem restoration efforts can be aided by molecular techniques. By observing changes in species abundance and diversity as well as changes in ecosystem processes, scientists can evaluate the efficacy of ecosystem restoration efforts and make necessary adjustments.

VARIOUS MOLECULAR APPROACHES TO ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

Identification of species is critical in ecosystem restoration, especially when dealing with threatened or endangered species. Molecular techniques such as DNA barcoding and sequencing have become valuable tools for identifying

species and detecting cryptic or rare species that are difficult to identify using traditional methods [1]. These techniques have also been used to investigate population genetic diversity and the origins and evolutionary history of species. Another crucial area of study in ecosystem restoration is population genetics. Microsatellites and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are examples of molecular markers that have been used to study population genetic structure and monitor individual movement both within and between populations [2]. These markers can also be used to estimate population sizes, gene flow, inbreeding, and genetic drift.

Conservation biology is a branch of biology that seeks to protect and conserve ecosystem biodiversity. Molecular techniques have been critical in this field, particularly in identifying and tracking endangered species and understanding the genetic and ecological factors influencing species survival. Microsatellites and mitochondrial DNA have been used to determine the source populations for translocation programs and monitor their effectiveness. The interactions of species with their environment have also been studied using molecular methods. For instance, transcriptomics and proteomics have been used to study how species react to environmental stressors like pollution and climate change. By shedding light on the molecular processes underlying species' responses to environmental stressors, these techniques can aid in the identification of potential conservation targets.

Overall, molecular methods have emerged as a crucial tool for restoring ecosystems, providing scientists with a potent arsenal of instruments for investigating the genetic diversity, population dynamics, and ecological interactions of species in an ecosystem. This chapter will examine the various molecular approaches that have been used in ecosystem restoration, as well as their advantages, drawbacks, and potential applications in the future.

PCR-based Approaches

Most molecular-based studies begin with DNA extraction from a particular organism, followed by the amplification of particular DNA segments using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The fact that only minute amounts of DNA are needed makes PCR worthwhile [3]. This is especially helpful when scientists need numerous samples or cannot obtain large amounts of tissue, as in population genetic studies. DNA was taken from people in various populations and used in a PCR-based genetic diversity survey. This kind of investigation can provide insights into the historical processes that led to variations in the genetic makeup of populations dispersed across a variety of environments and geographies. A schematic representation of the polymerase chain reaction is shown in Fig. (1).

SUBJECT INDEX

A

Abiotic 20, 21, 47, 114, 120, 123, 125, 128, 130, 131
 diseases 123
 stresses 20, 21, 47, 114, 120, 123, 125, 128, 130, 131
 Actinomycetes 24, 136, 163, 170, 229
 Aerobic microbiological process 228
 AFLP method 248, 249
 AMPK protein kinases 124
 Anthropogenic 13, 199, 200
 stress 13
 Antibacterial agent 203
 Antibiotic 126, 209, 260, 261
 -resistant bacteria (ARBs) 209, 260, 261
 -resistant genes (ARGs) 126, 260, 261
 Antifreeze proteins (APs) 126
 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) 46, 50, 51, 58, 104, 105, 107, 147, 215, 233
 Auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs) 126

B

Bacteria 115, 259
 coliform 259
 microscopic 115
 Bacterial endophyte 59
 Biofertilizers, microbe-based 23
 Biogas, methane-rich 166
 Biomass 50, 60, 100, 140, 150, 160, 167, 169, 225, 236
 lignocellulose 225
 lignocellulosic 160, 167
 production and nutrient removal 150
 Bioremediation 19, 71, 74, 77, 171
 techniques 19, 74
 technologies 71, 77, 171
 Bioremediation processes 83, 84, 85, 188, 225
 anaerobic 84
 microbial-mediated 83

Shiv Prasad, Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh, Murugaiyan Sinduja, Velusamy Sathya, Ramesh Poornima &
 Sangilidurai Karthika (Eds.)

All rights reserved-© 2024 Bentham Science Publishers

C

Carbon 23, 47, 114, 115, 117, 120, 121, 149, 150, 159, 162, 183, 186, 187, 222, 235, 236, 237
 atmospheric 23, 222
 emissions 117, 149
 farming technique 235
 fixation 47, 183
 microbial-derived 236
 Cheese production 203
 Chemical 22, 92, 93, 94, 96, 102, 107, 108, 109, 163, 170
 decomposition 163
 fertilizers 22, 92, 93, 94, 96, 102, 107, 108, 109, 170
 Chemosynthesis 101
 Chemotaxis system 237
 Contaminants 6, 47, 55, 76, 77, 83, 84, 85, 108, 137, 138, 145, 163, 168, 171, 180
 emerging 137
 environmental 180
 organic 6, 77
 toxic 145
 Contaminated 6, 48, 70, 71, 75, 76, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 84, 85, 101, 148
 environments 6, 79, 85
 soil 48, 70, 71, 75, 76, 77, 80, 81, 84, 101, 148
 water 77, 82
 Contamination 30, 130, 157, 233
 crude oil 233
 risks 30
 CRISPR 188, 189
 gene editing technology 188
 technology 188, 189
 Crop(s) 92, 117, 124, 129
 infectious 124
 production 92, 117, 129
 Cytochrome oxidase 210

D

Degradation 4, 5, 6, 24, 45, 47, 137, 143, 146, 148, 149, 162, 165, 167, 168, 185, 258
cellulose 24
enzymatic 185
hemicellulose 168
photochemical 137
plastic waste 24
Diazotrophic bacteria (DB) 49, 50
Digestion, enzymatic protein 185
Diseases 7, 9, 17, 23, 25, 73, 99, 103, 104, 106, 123, 124, 230, 234, 235
cardiovascular 73
chronic pulmonary 25
DNA 177, 178, 188, 203, 206, 215, 245, 246, 248, 252, 253, 255, 256, 258
bacterial 177
endonuclease 188
ligase 203
Drought 49, 60, 123
stress 60, 123
tolerance 49, 123

E

Ecosystem(s) 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11, 28, 29, 30, 44, 45, 176, 215, 244, 245
degradation 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 11, 44, 176, 215
processes 45, 244, 245
regeneration 244
restoration, microbial 28, 29, 30
restoring microbial 29
Effect of changes in abiotic stressors 59
Effective management of solid waste 169
Electromigration separation 75
Energy 22, 101, 114, 115, 117, 119, 120, 141, 145, 160, 165, 169, 170, 172, 201, 202
consumption 117, 165, 172
metabolism 119
solar 115
transfer 114
Environmental 4, 5, 14, 45, 60, 71, 84, 151, 158, 161, 184, 246, 255
damage 71
DNA technology 255
pollutants 151
pollution 4, 5, 45, 84, 161
problems 158
RNA 184

stressors 60, 246
sustainability 14
Environmental DNA 178, 180, 244, 255
isolating 180
Enzyme urease 83

F

Fecal pollution 259
FISH method 254
Fixing nitrogen 49
Fluctuations 52, 132, 133, 259
dangerous weather 133
natural 132
Fluorescence quenching technique 255
Food 160, 203
industries 203
processing 160
Fuel, manmade fossil 117
Fusarium wilt disease 22

G

Gases, exchanging 58
Gene(s) 57, 58, 71, 124, 126, 127, 128, 130, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 188, 189, 250, 251, 254, 260, 263
antibiotic 260, 263
antibiotic-resistant 260
expression 57, 58, 71, 188
Gene editing 176, 187, 188, 189
methods 188
techniques 188
Genetic use restriction technologies (GURT)s 127
Genome editing 128, 130, 191
technology 130
GI-photoperiodic gene 124
Gluconeogenesis 262
Glutathione reductase (GR) 126, 129
Greenhouse 14, 18, 23, 24, 158, 166, 169, 230, 235
gas emissions 18, 235
gases 14, 23, 24, 158, 166, 169, 230
Growth-promoting hormones 49

H

Hazardous 24, 53, 78, 81, 158, 200
metals, bioremediating 81

- pollutants 24
- Heavy metal 19, 51, 166, 244
 - contamination 51
 - pollution 166, 244
 - recovery 19
- High-throughput sequencing techniques 190
- Housekeeping genes 209
- Hydro-meteorological disasters 116
- I**
- Industrial 55, 157, 160
 - evolution 55
 - wastes 157, 160
- Integrated solid waste management (ISWM) 161
- L**
- Lignocellulose composition 165
- Lipid production 150
- M**
- Marine ecosystems 6, 8, 118, 262
- Mechanisms 25, 27, 70, 71, 72, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 121, 123
 - adaptive 121
 - drought adaptation 123
- Metabolic 25, 83, 98, 103, 123, 144, 176, 186, 202
 - activities 25, 98, 123, 186, 202
 - network mapping 176
 - pathways 83, 103, 144
- Metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) 184
- Metagenomics 181, 184
 - alleged 181
 - genome-centric 184
- Metal(s) 19, 50, 74, 77, 259
 - accumulating toxic 259
 - adsorption 50
 - removal, heavy 19, 74
 - toxicity 77
- Microbe(s) 1, 18, 48, 58, 75, 96, 114, 115, 126, 164, 168, 232, 234
 - aerobic 115, 164
 - anaerobic 58, 164
 - assisted eco-restoration techniques 232
 - diverse 1
 - electrokinetic 75
 - hemicellulolytic 168
 - nitrogen-fixing 96
 - pollutant-degrading 48
 - symbiotic 18, 114, 126, 234
- Microbial 13, 16, 19, 20, 23, 30, 52, 56, 78, 79, 81, 83, 84, 137, 142, 143, 149, 170, 176, 177, 188, 210, 222, 226, 239, 252
 - biofuel production 20
 - bioremediation 19, 79, 83, 176, 188
 - biosensors 19, 252
 - community analysis 16, 210
 - community assembly processes 30
 - community development 177
 - electrolysis 149
 - electrosynthesis 149
 - fuel cells (MFCs) 20, 149
 - growth 52, 142, 143
 - mediated functions 13
 - metabolism 78, 84
 - parasites 23
 - remediation process 81
 - respiration 56, 137, 226
 - restoration 222
 - techniques 172, 239
 - waste management 170
- Microbiome(s) 18, 24, 27, 60, 61
 - environmental 24
 - promoting diverse environmental 27
 - skin 18
 - transfer 60, 61
- Microbiota, gut 17
- Micronutrients, chelating 54
- Microorganisms 58, 104, 204
 - mesophilic 204
 - pathogenic 104
 - waterborne 58
- Mineral 72, 128
 - deficiency 128
 - deposits 72
- Mitigation efforts 24
- Mitochondrial DNA 246
- Municipal 24, 150, 157, 158, 162, 163, 164, 165, 168, 170, 172, 203, 226, 260
 - solid waste (MSW) 24, 157, 158, 162, 163, 164, 165, 168, 170, 172, 226
 - waste and sewage sludge water treatment 203
 - wastewater 150, 260

N

Natural 14, 28, 148, 158, 201, 230
 degradation process 148
 disasters 201
 ecosystems 28, 230
 resource management 14
 resources, environmental 158
 Nature 1, 84, 109, 120, 133, 137, 161, 164, 169, 172, 198, 214
 biodegradable 172
 toxic 137
 Net primary productivity (NPP) 8
 Networks 8, 186, 232
 dynamic 232
 metabolic 186
 mutualistic 8
 Nitrifying reactions 164
 Nitrite-oxidizing bacteria 182
 Nitrogen 13, 18, 23, 46, 47, 96, 97, 98, 99, 104, 105, 145, 159, 183, 186, 214, 262
 cycles 98, 159, 186
 fertilizers 104
 fixation 18, 23, 46, 47, 96, 98, 99, 104, 105, 183, 214
 gas 97
 metabolism 262
 nitrite 145
 reducing 96
 reduction 13
 Nitrogen-fixing 98, 107, 184
 actinomycete 98
 bacteria, free-living 107
 cyanobacterium 107
 diazotrophic 98
 Nitrogenase 53, 229
 molybdenum 53
 enzyme 229
 Nutrient(s) 46, 47, 48, 53, 54, 83, 84, 94, 96, 100, 104, 106, 107, 114, 120, 122, 123, 124, 148, 171, 172, 186, 227, 231
 absorbing 100
 cycling processes 106
 deficiency 114
 diffusion 83
 fixation 94
 inorganic 53, 96, 186
 mobilization 48
 solubilize 106
 transfer 186, 231

translocating 148

transport 120

O

Organic 6, 50, 94, 98, 116, 139, 162, 170, 228, 252
 acid and phosphatase enzymes 98
 acid-producing bacteria 228
 chemicals (OC) 170
 fertilizers 94, 116
 manure, nutrient-rich 162
 pollutants 6, 50, 139, 170, 252
 Organic waste 24, 158, 159, 162, 166, 168, 169, 226, 227
 degradable 162
 managing 227
 Oxidoreductases 186, 225

P

Plant 21, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 49, 51, 54, 57, 58, 61, 73, 99, 105, 117, 121, 131, 132, 244
 disease resistance 22
 drought tolerance 57
 electricity power 117
 hormones 54
 immunity 49
 infections 61
 metabolism 73, 121
 -microbe interactions 24, 26, 28, 30, 51, 132, 244
 rhizosphere microbiome 21
 salt tolerance 58
 secondary metabolites (PSMs) 22, 99, 105
 stress-resilient 131
 Plant growth 18, 22, 23, 47, 48, 49, 58, 78, 79, 93, 94, 98, 102, 104, 105, 107, 114, 124, 128, 148, 183, 223, 232
 hormone synthesis 47
 inhibition, reduced 232
 -promoting (PGP) 22, 23, 78, 79, 98, 105, 128, 148, 183
 -promoting rhizobacteria 105
 Pollution 6, 59, 261
 chemical 6
 environmental gene 261
 industrial 59
 marine 6
 plastic 6

- Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) 6, 55, 77, 84
Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) 178, 209, 246, 247, 250, 256
Potato virus 131
Production 20, 24, 121, 230, 259
 growth hormone 259
 nitric oxide 121
 of greenhouse gases 24, 230
 of renewable energy 20
Proteases 56, 106, 163
Protein(s) 82, 101
 synthesis 101
 transporter 82
- R**
- Radio-sensitivity of microbes 120
Reactive oxygen species (ROS) 120, 130, 261
Red fluorescent protein (RFP) 127
Renewable 14, 20, 160
 energy 14, 20
 fuels 160
RNA 180, 213
 ribosomal 180
 viruses 213
- S**
- Salinity 58, 125, 128
 stress 125
 tolerance 58, 128
Salt 93, 114, 121, 122, 125, 127
 stress 93, 122, 127
 tolerance 114, 121, 122, 125
Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) 246
Skin 73, 250
 transplanted 250
 irritation 73
 lesions 73
Sodium adsorption rate (SAR) 128
Soil 3, 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, 23, 27, 28, 29, 30, 44, 45, 47, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 61, 62, 63, 72, 73, 75, 77, 81, 93, 94, 99, 100, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 115, 121, 170, 182, 186, 202, 222, 223, 229, 230, 231, 234, 235, 236, 238
 acidic 53
 arsenic-contaminated 81
 contamination 16
 degradation 29, 30, 63, 223, 234
 electrokinetic remediation 75
 erosion 45, 115, 170
 fertile 94
 fertility 73, 105, 106, 107, 115, 121, 223, 229, 230, 231, 235
 fertilization 182
 fungal communities 53
 heavy metal-polluted 17
 microbes 3, 11, 18, 19, 47, 53, 57, 58, 61, 230, 238
 microbiomes 17, 23, 27, 28, 29, 44, 47, 57, 61, 62, 63
 microflora 115
 moist 53
 nitrogen 202
 non-contaminated 77
 nutrient cycling 202
 proteins 186
 toxic compound-contaminated 59
 waste-treated 100
 zinc-deficient 103
Soil bacteria 54, 93, 94, 236
 nitrogen-fixing 93
Soil microbial 13, 26, 30, 47, 48, 58, 73, 177, 178, 179, 182, 185, 186, 223
 community (SMCs) 13, 26, 30, 47, 48, 177, 178, 179, 182, 185, 186
 populations 58, 73, 223
Solid waste 156, 158, 159, 161, 162, 169
Stresses 20, 22, 44, 50, 54, 59, 73, 78, 100, 114, 115, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 129, 130, 132, 181, 185, 234, 235
 environmental 22, 50, 78, 100, 234, 235
 mitigation 124
 osmotic 122
 oxidative 73, 122, 185
 reduction 125, 132
 soil nutrient 54
 tolerance 20, 44, 59, 120, 121, 127, 234
Superoxide dismutase 129
Symbiotic nitrogen fixers 226
Synthetic 61, 94, 227, 230, 234
 fertilizers and pesticides 94, 227, 230, 234
 transplant 61
Systems 13, 25, 104, 158, 226, 227, 250
 immune 104, 250
 respiratory 25
 soil microbial community 13

traditional composting 226, 227
waste recycling 158

T

Terrestrial 7, 8, 10, 11, 29, 62
 ecosystem restoration 11
 ecosystems 7, 8, 10, 11, 29, 62
Transcription factors 120
Transformation, contaminant 139
Transgenic plants 120, 127, 129

U

Urban green spaces (UGS) 8

V

Viruses, environmental 212

W

Waste 24, 25, 71, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160,
 161, 162, 169, 170, 172, 201, 225, 226,
 227, 230
 animal 170
 biodegradable 161, 162
 food 226, 227
 heavy metal 225
 nuclear 201, 225
 polluting 225
 radioactive 156, 201
 toxic 71
Waste management 10, 24, 25, 156, 157, 158,
 161, 169, 170, 171, 172, 226, 227
 municipal 10
 processes 161
 systems 170
 techniques 171
 urban solid 170
Waste treatment 158, 160, 169
 industrial 160
 methods 169
 technology 158
Wastewater 80, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141,
 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149,
 150, 151, 260
 anaerobic 142
 hospital 260

 industrial 80, 136, 148
 mining 151
 treatment 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 143, 146,
 147, 148, 149, 150
Water 16, 93, 117, 128, 234
 contamination 93
 pollution 16, 234
 stress 117, 128
Waterlogging mitigation effects 129

Z

Zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) 188, 189



Shiv Prasad

Shiv Prasad is a principal scientist (ARS) with 26 years of experience in agricultural research and teaching. He holds Ph.D. from ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India. He has contributed significantly to biomass waste recycling, screening, and evaluation of fungal, bacterial, and yeast strains for saccharification and ethanol fermentation, monitoring and impact assessment of greenhouse gases, air pollution, heavy metals, and their effect on agriculture. He has published over 167 research and review articles, 4 books, and 35 chapters. His research works have been extensively cited more than 7735 times with an H-index of 36 and i10-index of 70. He has been honoured with several prestigious awards, including the National Dr. Rajendra Prasad Award (ICAR), International Award (Elsevier) for best papers in the past 30 years, distinguished researcher award in ecology, and editor in many prestigious journals.



Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh

Govindaraj Kamalam Dinesh is an assistant professor of environmental sciences at SRM Institute of Science and Technology, holds Ph.D. from ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi. He is a young advantage fellow (Institute of Biosciences, USA) and research fellow (INTI International University, Malaysia). With more than 25 peer-reviewed papers, 14 book chapters, and more than 70 popular articles, he serves as a subject expert for Tamil Nadu Public Libraries' Book Selection Committee, Government of Tamil Nadu. He has working experience as a journalist and editorial member in a top magazine and journals. His goal is to bridge the gap between science and society, creating an inclusive and dialogic learning environment.



Murugaiyan Sinduja

Murugaiyan Sinduja is a technical executive at National Agro Foundation. She was awarded doctoral degree from Tamil Nadu Agricultural University. She is a distinguished environmentalist specializing in heavy metal remediation. With more than 30 research publications and more than 10 book chapters. She has mentored more than 100 internship students from various colleges and participated in over 20 conferences, earning multiple awards. She is a certified lead auditor for FSSC 22000 Version 6, which contributed to the development of the quality system of all industries worldwide.



Sathya Velusamy

Sathya Velusamy is an environmental scientist at Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board with masters and doctorate in environmental sciences from Tamil Nadu Agricultural University. She is with lot of aspiration towards research and her research arena intrigued towards bioremediation and phytoremediation which gave her an identity in the field of environmental pollution and remediation. She has received a grant from DST -SERB funded scheme under the Early Career Research Award. She also has excellence in the field of organic agriculture, soil health enhancement and development of sustainable technologies for remediation of contaminated sites. She is involved actively in the study of "Soil Quality Mapping across Industrial Areas of Tamil Nadu using Geospatial Tools".



Ramesh Poornima

Ramesh Poornima is an assistant professor of environmental sciences at Vanavarayar Institute of Agriculture, Pollachi, Tamil Nadu. She holds a Ph.D. in environmental sciences from Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (TNAU), specializing in mitigating ozone stress on rice cultivars. Her research focuses on environmental sustainability, including bioremediation, composting, and the effects of microplastics on agriculture. She has worked as a senior research fellow on projects funded by ISRO and TNPL, contributing to studies on industrial effluent irrigation and environmental impact assessments. She has published extensively in the international journals and has guided student research in composting technology. She is well known for her practical expertise in environmental science, and has received awards for her research and presentations. She actively participates in national and international conferences, making significant contributions to the field of climate-resilient agriculture.



Sangilidurai Karthika

Sangilidurai Karthika is an environmental researcher with Ph.D. in environmental sciences from Tamil Nadu Agricultural University. She has received the Commonwealth Split-site Ph.D. Scholarship and the Department of Science and Technology—Student Junior Research Fellowship. Her publications are extensive, and she excels in microplastics analysis, risk assessment, and ecotoxicological studies. Her broad experience in environmental quality parameters, including soil, water, and wastewater, makes her a distinguished scientist.